### **BELLSOUTH**

### RECEIVED

BellSouth Telecommunications. Inc

333 Commerce Street

Ý

Suite 2101

Nashville, TN 37201-3300

T.R.A. DOC 37 800H May 19, 2004 Guy M Hicks General Counsel

615 214 6301 Fax 615 214 7406

guy hicks@bellsouth com

### VIA HAND DELIVERY

Hon Kim Beals, Prearbitration Officer Tennessee Regulatory Authority 460 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, TN 37238

Re: Joint Petition for Arbitration of NewSouth Communications Corp., et al. of an Interconnection Agreement with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. Pursuant to Section 252(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as Amended

Docket No. 04-00046

Dear Ms. Beals

In accordance with your request during the status conference of May 12, 2004, the parties submit the following:

- 1. This will confirm that all the parties in this proceeding agree to waive the 9-month deadline referenced in Section 252(b)(4)(C) of the federal Act.
- 2. The parties submit the attached issues matrix. Following the status conference on May 12, the parties settled the following additional issues: Items 39, 40, 41(E), 42, 49 and 71. The settlement of these additional issues is noted on the attached. The parties will continue their efforts to resolve their disagreements regarding the wording of some of the issues statements on the matrix.
  - 3. The parties propose the following procedural schedule:

July 16, 2004

July 21, 2004

August 4, 2004

August 17, 2004

August 17, 2004

August 31-Sept 3

Direct Testimony

Rebuttal Testimony

Discovery Requests

(except for depositions, if necessary)

Objections and Responses to Discovery

Motions to Compel (if necessary)

Response to Any Motions to Compel

Hearing

Hon Kim Beals, Prearbitration Officer May 19, 2004 Page 2

Mr. Don Baltimore, counsel for the CLECs in this proceeding, has authorized me to submit this letter on their behalf. Copies of the enclosed are being provided to counsel of record

Very truly yours,

Guy M. Hicks

GMH:ch

Hon. Kim Beals, Prearbitration Officer May 19, 2004 Page 2

Mr. Don Baltimore, counsel for the CLECs in this proceeding, has authorized me to submit this letter on their behalf. Copies of the enclosed are being provided to counsel of record.

Very truly yours,

Guy M. Hicks

GMH ch

# KMC / NUVOX / NEWSOUTH / XSPEDIUS - BELLSOUTH ARBITRATION JOINT PETITIONERS ISSUES/OPEN ITEMS MATRIX

# Tennessee Regulatory Authority Docket No. 04-00046

ITEM	Issue*	Ş	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLEC POSITION	BELLSOUTH POSITION
				GT&Cs (MAIN)	
	G-1	1.6	What should be the	Future amendments incorporating	Future amendments incorporating
			effective date of future rate impacting amendments?	Authority-approved rates should be effective as of the effective date of the	Authority-approved rates should be effective ten (10) calendar days after the
			,	Authority order, if an amendment is	date of the last signature executing the
				requested within 30 calendar days of that	amendment.
				date. Otherwise, such amendments should	
				be effective 10 calendar days after request.	
2	G-2	1.7	CLEC Issue Statement:	The term "End User" should be defined as	The Parties have not discussed the
			How should "End User"	"the customer of a Party".	definition for "End User" other than in
			be defined?		the context of high-capacity EELs.
					Since the issue as stated by the CLECs
			BellSouth Issue		and raised in the General Terms and
			Statement: How should		Conditions of the Agreement has never
			"End User" be defined for		been discussed by the Parties, the issue
			purposes of attachment 2		is not appropriate for arbitration. The
			of this Agreement?		term End User should be defined as it is
					customarily used in the industry; that is,
					the ultimate user of the
					telecommunications service.
3	G-3	10.2	Should the agreement	YES, BellSouth should be financially liable	NO. The Parties have negotiated
			contain a general provision	for causing, failing to prevent, or	specific provisions in Attachments 3 and
			providing that BellSouth	contributing to unbillable or uncollectible	7 addressing responsibility for billing
			shall take financial	CLEC revenue. A general provision	records deficiencies. Therefore, this
			responsibility for its own	complements the specific provisions	additional provision is unnecessary.
			actions in causing, or	contained in Attachments 3 and 7.	

### KMC/NUVOX/NEWSOUTH/XSPEDIUS-BELLSOUTH ARBITRATION JOINT PETITIONERS ISSUES/OPEN ITEMS MATRIX

# Tennessee Regulatory Authority Docket No. 04-00046

ITEM ISSUE	8	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLEC-POSITION	BELL SOUTH POSITION
			GT&Cs (MAIN)	
G-1	1.6	What should be the effective date of future rate	Authority-approved rates should be	Authority-approved rates should be
		impacting amenaments:	Authority order, if an amendment is requested within 30 calendar days of that	date of the last signature executing the amendment.
			date. Otherwise, such amendments should be effective 10 calendar days after request.	
2 G-2 1	1.7	CLEC Issue Statement:	The term "End User" should be defined as	The Parties have not discussed the
		How should "End User" he defined?	"the customer of a Party".	definition for "End User" other than in the context of high-capacity EELs.
		٠		Since the issue as stated by the CLECs
		BellSouth Issue		and raised in the General Terms and
		Statement: How should		Conditions of the Agreement has never
		"End User" be defined for		been discussed by the Parties, the issue
		purposes of attachment 2		is not appropriate for arbitration. The
		of this Agreement?		term End User should be defined as it is
				customarily used in the industry; that is,
				telecommunications service
3 G-3 1	10.2	Should the agreement	YES, BellSouth should be financially liable	NO. The Parties have negotiated
		contain a general provision	for causing, failing to prevent, or	specific provisions in Attachments 3 and
		providing that BellSouth	contributing to unbillable or uncollectible	7 addressing responsibility for billing
		shall take financial	CLEC revenue. A general provision	records deficiencies. Therefore, this
		responsibility for its own	complements the specific provisions	additional provision is unnecessary
		actions in causing, or	contained in Attachments 3 and 7.	

2	4		za
			ITEM-
G-5	G-4		ISSUE (
10.4.2	10.4.1		8
Should each Party be required to include specific liability-eliminating terms in all of its tariffs and End User contracts (past,	What should be the limitation on each Party's lability in circumstances other than gross negligence or willful misconduct?	contributing to unbillable or uncollectible CLEC revenue in addition to specific provisions set forth in Attachments 3 and 7?	UNRESOLVED ISSUE
NO, BellSouth should not be able to dictate the terms of service between CLEC and its End Users by, among other things, holding CLEC liable for failing to mirror BellSouth's limitation of liability and indemnification provisions in CLEC's End	In cases other than gross negligence and willful misconduct by the other party, or other specified exemptions as set forth in CLECs' proposed language, liability should be limited to an aggregate amount over the entire term equal to 7.5% of the aggregate fees, charges or other amounts paid or payable for any and all services provided or to be provided pursuant to the Agreement as of the day immediately preceding the date of assertion or filing of the applicable claim or suit. CLECs' proposal represents a hybrid between limitation of liability provisions typically found in commercial contracts between sophisticated buyers and sellers, in the absence of overwhelming market dominance by one party, and the effective elimination of liability provision proposed by BellSouth.		CLEC PosiTION
If a CLEC elects not to limit its liability to its end users/customers in accordance with industry norms, the CLEC should bear the risk of loss arising from that business decision.	The industry standard limitation of liability should apply, which limits the liability of the provisioning party to a credit for the actual cost of the services or functions not performed or improperly performed.		BELLSOUTH POSITION

	limes in a commercially reasonable manner	(or CLEC's) performance			
***	BellSouth's failure to act at all relevant	manner from BellSouth's			
	otherwise caused by or are the result of	reasonably foreseeable			
	forth in the Agreement that were not	result directly and in a			
	CLEC's) performance of obligations set	the extent such damages			
	foreseeable manner from BellSouth's (or	BellSouth's) End Users to			
	proximately, and in a reasonably	incurred by CLEC's (or			
	Damages to End Users that result directly,	claims or suits for damages			
	provisioning of UNEs and other services.	preclude liability for			
party to an agreement.	performance of its obligations, including its	damages be construed to			
claim and should not be dictated by a	foreseeable result of BellSouth's	incidental or consequential			
matter of state law at the time of the	that CLECs' End Users incur as a	liability for indirect,		_	
incidental or consequential damages is a	Agreement should not preclude damages	Should limitation on			
What damages constitute indirect,	NO, The limitation of liability terms in the	CLEC Issue Statement:	10.4.4	G-6	6
	[Revised 4/1/04]	this business decision?			
		the risks that result from			
	time of such loss.	liability, who should bear			
	successful in including in its tariffs at the	industry limitations of			
	liability terms that such other Party was	and/or tariffs standard			
	tariffs and contracts the elimination-of-	contracts with end users			
	limited had the first Party included in its	elects not to place in its		-	
	that portion of the loss that would have been	Statement: If the CLEC			
	indemnify and reimburse the other Party for	BellSouth Issue			
	that Party should not be required to				
	reasonable, in the particular circumstances,	eliminated?			
	non-inclusion of such terms is commercially	for liabilities not			
	present and future), and provided that the	indemnify the other Party			
	of its tariffs and End User contracts (past,	should it be obligated to			· ·
	specific elimination-of-liability terms in all	not or is unable to do so,		_	
	that a Party does not, or is unable to, include	the extent that a Party does			
	User tariffs and/or contracts. To the extent	present and future), and, to			
			Ö	#.	No.

No.	ISSUE #	8.	Agreement?  RellSouth Issue	CLEC POSITION  mitigation with respect to such damage should be considered direct and compensable under the Agreement for	BELL SOUTH POSITION
			BellSouth Issue Statement: How should indirect, incidental or	compensable under the Agreement for simple negligence or nonperformance purposes.	
			consequential damages be defined for purposes of the Agreement?	[Revised 4/1/04]	
7	G-7	10.5	What should the indemnification obligations of the parties be under this Agreement?	The Party providing service under the Agreement should be indemnified, defended and held harmless by the Party receiving services against any claim for libel, slander or invasion of privacy arising from the content of the receiving Party's own communications. Additionally, customary provisions should be included to specify that the Party receiving services under the Agreement should be indemnified, defended and held harmless by the provider Party against any claims, loss or damage to the extent reasonably arising from: (1) the providing Party's failure to abide by Applicable Law, or (2) injuries or damages arising out of or in connection with this Agreement to the extent cased by the provider Party's negligence, gross negligence or willful misconduct.	The Party receiving services should indemnify the party providing services from (1) any claim loss or damages from claims for libel, slander or invasion of privacy arising from the content of the receiving party's own communications, or (2) any claim, loss or damage claimed by the end user of the Party receiving services arising out of the Agreement.
8	G-8	11.1	What language should be included in the Agreement regarding a Party's use of	Given the complexity of and variability in intellectual property law, this nine-state Agreement should simply state that no	Except for factual references to the BellSouth name as necessary to respond to direct inquiries from customers or

No: #	the other Party's name, service marks, logo and trademarks?	patent, copyright, trademark or other proprietary right is licensed, granted or otherwise transferred by the Agreement and that a Party's use of the other Party's name, service mark and trademark should be in accordance with Applicable Law. The	potential customers regarding the source of the underlying services or the identity of repair technicians, CLECs should not be entitled to use BellSouth's name, service mark, logo or trademark.
		Authority should not attempt to prejudge intellectual property law issues, which at BellSouth's insistence, the Parties have agreed are best left to adjudication by courts of law (see, GTC, Sec. 11.5).	
9 G-9 13.1	CLEC Issue Statement: Should a court of law be included among the venues	YES, either Party should be able to petition the Authority, the FCC or a court of law for resolution of a dispute. Given the	This Authority or the FCC should resolve disputes as to the interpretation of the Agreement or as to the proper
	at which a Party may seek dispute resolution under the Agreement?	difficulties experienced in achieving efficient regional dispute resolution, and the ongoing debate as to whether state	implementation of the Agreement. A party should be entitled to seek judicial review of any ruling made by the
	BellSouth Issue Statement: Should a party	agreements (CLECs do not dispute that authority) and as to whether the FCC will	Agreement, but should not be entitled to take such disputes to a Court of law
	be allowed to take a dispute concerning the	engage in such enforcement (or not), no legitimate dispute resolution venue should	without first exhausting its administrative remedies.
	interpretation or implementation of any provision of the agreement	be foreclosed. There is no question that courts of law have jurisdiction to entertain such disputes (see GTC, Sec. 11.5); indeed,	
	to a Court of law for resolution without first	in certain instances, they may be better equipped to adjudicate a dispute and may	
	exhausting its administrative remedies?	provide a more efficient alternative to litigating in up to 9 different jurisdictions or	
		to waiting for the FCC to decide whether it will or won't accept an enforcement role	
		given the particular facts.	

YES, nothing in the Agreement should be construed to limit a Party's rights or exempt a Party from obligations under Applicable Law, as defined in the Agreement, except in such cases where the Parties have explicitly agreed to a limitation or exemption. This is a basic legal tenet and is consistent with both federal and Georgia law (agreed to by the parties), and it should be explicitly stated in the Agreement in order to avoid unnecessary disputes and litigation that has plagued the Parties in the past.  Any non-negotiated deviations from ordered rates should be corrected by retroactive true-up to the effective date of the Agreement within 30 calendar days of the date the error was identified by either Party.  NO, the Parties should not be permitted to hold performance hostage to terms not included in the Agreement and not mandated by Applicable Law. More specifically, neither Party should, as a condition or prerequisite to such Party's performance of its obligations under the Agreement, impose or insist upon the other	NO.	ISSUE #	8	Unresolved Issue	GUEC POSITION	BELLSOUTH POSITION
G-11 19,19.1 This issue has been  G-12 32.2 Should the Agreement explicitly state that all laws, rules, regulations, and decisions apply unless otherwise specifically agreed to by the Parties?  G-13 32.3 How should the Parties  G-13 32.3 How should the Parties  G-14 34.2 Can either Party require, as a prerequisite to performance of its obligations under the daye expressly stipulated preformance of insoluded the party adhere to any performance of insoluded the party's ripulated that all explicitly stated in the Agreement and not oncluded in the Agreement and not performance of its onlided in the Agreement and not as a prerequisite to mandated by Applicable Law. More agreed to there only performance of its onlided in the Agreement and not agreed to a limitation or exemption. This is a party adhere to any proved the parties), and it should be explicitly stated in the Agreement in order to avoid unnecessary disputes and litigation that has plagued the Parties in the past.  G-13 32.3 How should the Parties and Ceorgia law (agreed to by the parties), and it should be explicitly stated in the Agreement in order to avoid unnecessary disputes and litigation that has plagued the Parties in the past.  G-13 32.3 How should the Parties and conditions green of the rate sheets at the error was identified by either Party.  G-14 34.2 Can either Party require, as a prerequisite to any proved to terms not included in the Agreement and not included in the Agreement and not condition or prerequisite to such Party's experiment, that the other party should as a preformance of its obligations under the applicable Law. More	10	G-10	17.4	This issue has been		American A
G-11 19, 19.1 This issue has been  resolved.  G-12 32.2 Should the Agreement  should the Agreement  explicitly state that all existing state and federal laws, rules, regulations, and decisions apply unless apreed to by the Parties?  otherwise specifically agreed to by the Parties?  G-13 32.3 How should the Parties  G-13 32.3 How should the Parties  G-14 34.2 Can either Party require, obligations under the agreement, that the other  Party adhere to any requirement other than those expressly stipulated  G-14 34.2 Can either Party require, those expressly stipulated the parties should be performance of its obligations under the agreement, that the other agreement, that the other agreement, impose or insist upon the other				resolved.		
G-12 32.2 Should the Agreement  should the Agreement  explicitly state that all existing state and federal laws, rules, regulations, and decisions apply unless otherwise specifically agreed to by the Parties?  otherwise specifically agreed to by the Parties?  How should the Parties  G-13 32.3 How should the Parties How should the Parties to gattached to the Agreement of the Agreement other than  G-14 34.2 Can either Party require, obligations under the Agreement, that the other requirement other than hose expressly stipulated he Agreement, impose or insist upon the other  Construed to limit a Party's rights or exempt construed to limit a Party's rights or exempt a Party from obligations under Applicable Law, as defined in the Agreement, except in a Party from obligations under Applicable Law, as defined in the Agreement, except in a Party from obligations under Applicable Law, as defined in the Agreement, except in a Party from obligations under that all a Party from obligations under Aprivs rights or exempt a Party from obligations under Aprivs on bligations under the observation obligations under the party's rights or exempt a Party from obligations under the onstruct to limit a Party's rights or exempt a Party from obligations under Aprically agreed to limit a Party from obligations under the party sights or exempt a Party from obligations under should be constructed in the Agreement in order to a void unnecessary disputes and litigation that has plagued the Parties in the past.  Any non-negotiated deviation or exemption. This is a basic legal tenet and Georgia law (agreed to by the parties) and Georgia law (agreed to by the parties) and tenet and Georgia law (agreed to by the parties) and tenet and Georgia law (agreed to by the parties) and tenet and Georgia law (agreed to by the parties have explicitly at a basic legal tenet and Georgia law (agreed to by the parties) and Georgia law (agreed to by the parties have explicitly at a basic legal tenet and Georgia law (agreed to by the parties) and Georgia law (	11	G-11	19, 19.1	This issue has been		
G-12 32.2 Should the Agreement construed to limit a Party's rights or exempt explicitly state and federal laws, rules, regulations, and decisions apply unless otherwise specifically agreed to by the Parties? otherwise specifically agreed to a limitation or exemption. This is a basic legal tenet and is consistent with both federal and Georgia law (agreed to by the parties), and it should be explicitly stated in the Agreement in order to avoid unnecessary disputes and litigation that has plagued the Parties in the past.  G-13 32.3 How should the Parties Any non-negotiated deviations from the state Commission- approved devations from the state Commission- approved rates in the rate sheets attached to the Agreement within 30 calendar days of the Agreement.  G-14 34.2 Can either Party require, obid performance hostage to terms not performance of its obligations under the those expressly stipulated agreement, impose or insist upon the other ham				resolved.	,	
explicitly state that all laws, rules, regulations, and decisions apply unless otherwise specifically agreed to by the Parties' agreed to by the Parties' about federal and Georgia law (agreed to by the Parties') a basic legal tenet and is consistent with both federal and Georgia law (agreed to by the parties), and it should be explicitly stated in the Agreement in order to avoid unnecessary disputes and litigation that has plagued the Parties in the past.  G-13 32.3 How should the Parties adeviations from the state Commission- approved deviations from the state rates in the rate sheets attached to the Agreement within 30 calendar days of the Agreement within 30 calendar days of the Agreement. Party require, as a prerequisite to performance of its obligations under the Agreement than the other party adhere to any requirement other than the other performance of its obligations under the those expressly stipulated Agreement, impose or insist upon the other	12			Should the Agreement	YES, nothing in the Agreement should be	No. This Agreement constitutes the
existing state and federal laws, rules, regulations, and decisions apply unless otherwise specifically agreed to by the Parties? otherwise specifically agreed to by the Parties? abasic legal tenet and is consistent with both federal and Georgia law (agreed to by the parties), and it should be explicitly stated in the Agreement in order to avoid unnecessary disputes and litigation that has plagued the Parties in the past.  G-13 32.3 How should the Parties Any non-negotiated deviations from the state commission-approved attached to the Agreement within 30 calendar days of the Agreement in the rate sheets attached to the Agreement within 30 calendar days of the Agreement. That the other performance of its obligations under the Agreement than the other past.  G-14 34.2 Can either Party require, as a prerequisite to performance of its obligations under the those expressly stipulated performance of its obligations under the those expressly stipulated Agreement, impose or insist upon the other				explicitly state that all	construed to limit a Party's rights or exempt	contractual obligations of the Parties to
laws, rules, regulations, and decisions apply unless otherwise specifically agreed to by the Parties? abasic legal tenet and is consistent with both federal and Georgia law (agreed to by the parties), and it should be explicitly stated in the Agreement in order to avoid unnecessary disputes and litigation that has plagued the Parties in the past.  G-13 32.3 How should the Parties deviations from the state Commission-approved rates should be corrected by retroactive true-up to the effective date of the Agreement within 30 calendar days of the as a prerequisite to performance of its obligations under the Agreement, that the other party adhere to any requirement other than those expressly stipulated  Law, as defined in the Agreement, except in such cases where the Parties have explicitly agreed to a limitation or exemption. This is a basic legal tenet and is consistent with both federal and Georgia law (agreed to by the parties), and it should be explicitly stated in the Agreement in order to avoid unnecessary disputes and litigation that has plagued the Parties in the past.  Any non-negotiated deviations from ordered rates should be corrected by retroactive true-up to the effective date of the Agreement within 30 calendar days of the date the error was identified by either Party.  G-14 34.2 Can either Party require, obligations under the specifically, neither Party should, as a condition or prerequisite to such Party's performance of its obligations under the those expressly stipulated and consistent with boxin federal and Georgia law (agreed to by the parties), and it should be explicitly at the parties, and it should be explicated to the parties of the parties should be corrected by retroactive true-up to the effective date of the Agreement within 30 calendar days of the heart of the Agreement within 30 calendar days of the true-up to the effective date of the heart of the Agree				existing state and federal	a Party from obligations under Applicable	each other and should not be subject to
and decisions apply unless otherwise specifically agreed to by the Parties? a basic legal tenet and is consistent with both federal and Georgia law (agreed to by the parties), and it should be explicitly stated in the Agreement in order to avoid unnecessary disputes and litigation that has plagued the Parties in the past.  G-13 32.3 How should the Parties deal with non-negotiated deviations from the state Commission- approved rates in the rate sheets attached to the Agreement within 30 calendar days of the Agreement, that the other obligations under the Agreement, that the other Party adhere to any requirement other than those expressly stipulated Agreement, impose or insist upon the other				laws, rules, regulations,	Law, as defined in the Agreement, except in	further negotiation subsequent to being
otherwise specifically agreed to by the Parties?  a basic legal tenet and is consistent with both federal and Georgia law (agreed to by the parties), and it should be explicitly stated in the Agreement in order to avoid unnecessary disputes and litigation that has plagued the Parties in the past.  G-13 32.3 How should the Parties  G-14 34.2 Can either Party require, as a prerequisite to performance of its obligations under the Agreement, that the other requirement other than those expressly stipulated  Agreement, impose or insist upon the other				and decisions apply unless	such cases where the Parties have explicitly	fully negotiated and arbitrated.
deviations from the state  G-13 32.3  How should the Parties  G-14 34.2  G-14 34.2  G-14 34.2  Can either Party require, as a prerequisite to obligations under the Agreement, that the other requirement other than those expressly stipulated  Agreement, that the other than the state the expressly stipulated  Agreement, impose or insist upon the other than the solution fool decorgia law (agreed to by the parties) that the other than the state to both fiederal and Georgia law (agreed to by the parties), and it should be explicitly stated in the Agreement in order to avoid unnecessary disputes and litigation that has plagued the Parties in the past.  Any non-negotiated artes should be corrected by retroactive true-up to the effective date of the Agreement within 30 calendar days of the date the error was identified by either Party.  NO, the Parties Agreement and not included in the Agreement and not included in the Agreement and not operiormance of its obligations under the specifically, neither Party should, as a condition or prerequisite to such Party's performance of its obligations under the other				otherwise specifically	agreed to a limitation or exemption. This is	•
both federal and Georgia law (agreed to by the parties), and it should be explicitly stated in the Agreement in order to avoid unnecessary disputes and litigation that has plagued the Parties in the past.  G-13 32.3 How should the Parties  G-14 34.2 Can either Party require, as a prerequisite to performance of its obligations under the Agreement, that the other Party adhere to any requirement other than those expressly stipulated  G-14 34.2 Can either Party require, as a prerequisite to performance of its obligations under the Agreement, impose or insist upon the other				agreed to by the Parties?	a basic legal tenet and is consistent with	
the parties), and it should be explicitly stated in the Agreement in order to avoid unnecessary disputes and litigation that has plagued the Parties in the past.  G-13 32.3 How should the Parties Any non-negotiated deviations from ordered rates should be corrected by retroactive true-up to the effective date of the Commission- approved rates should be corrected by retroactive true-up to the effective date of the Agreement within 30 calendar days of the date the error was identified by either Party.  G-14 34.2 Can either Party require, as a prerequisite to performance of its obligations under the Agreement, that the other Party adhere to any requirement other than those expressly stipulated  Agreement, impose or insist upon the other					both federal and Georgia law (agreed to by	
G-13 32.3 How should the Parties deal with non-negotiated deviations from ordered deviations from the state Commission- approved rates in the rate sheets attached to the Agreement within 30 calendar days of the Agreement?  G-14 34.2 Can either Party require, as a prerequisite to performance of its obligations under the Agreement, that the other Party adhere to any requirement other than those expressly stipulated Agreement, impose or insist upon the other					the parties), and it should be explicitly	
G-13 32.3  How should the Parties plagued the Parties in the past.  G-13 deal with non-negotiated deviations from ordered deviations from the state Commission- approved rates should be corrected by retroactive true-up to the effective date of the Agreement within 30 calendar days of the attached to the Agreement?  G-14 34.2  Can either Party require, as a prerequisite to performance of its obligations under the Agreement, that the other requirement other than those expressly stipulated  Agreement, impose or insist upon the other of the plagued the Parties in the past.  Any non-negotiated crates in the past.  Any non-negotiated deviations from ordered rates should be corrected by retroactive date of the Agreement within 30 calendar days of the Agreement?  NO, the Parties should not be permitted to included in the Agreement and not mandated by Applicable Law. More specifically, neither Party should, as a condition or prerequisite to such Party's performance of its obligations under the other					stated in the Agreement in order to avoid	
G-13 32.3 How should the Parties  G-14 34.2 Can either Party require, as a prerequisite to performance of its obligations under the Agreement, that the other requirement other than those expressly stipulated  G-14 34.2 Style="background-color: blue;"> G-14 34.2 Can either Party require, as a prerequisite to performance of its obligations under the Agreement, that the other requirement other than those expressly stipulated  G-14 34.2 Can either Party require, as a prerequisite to any requirement, that the other party adhere to any requirement other than those expressly stipulated  G-14 34.2 Can either Party require, as a prerequisite to any requirement, that the other party should, as a condition or prerequisite to such Party's performance of its obligations under the other					unnecessary disputes and litigation that has	
G-13 32.3 How should the Parties  deal with non-negotiated deviations from the state Commission- approved rates in the rate sheets attached to the Agreement?  G-14 34.2 Can either Party require, as a prerequisite to performance of its obligations under the Agreement, that the other requirement other than those expressly stipulated  How should the Parties rates should be corrected by retroactive true-up to the effective date of the Agreement within 30 calendar days of the Agreement?  Agreement?  NO, the Parties should not be permitted to included in the Agreement and not mandated by Applicable Law. More specifically, neither Party should, as a requirement other than have expressly stipulated  Any non-negotiated deviations from ordered rates should be corrected by retroactive true-up to the effective date of the Agreement within 30 calendar days of the have included in the Agreement?  NO, the Parties should not be permitted to included in the Agreement and not mandated by Applicable Law. More specifically, neither Party's performance of its obligations under the other					plagued the Parties in the past.	
deal with non-negotiated  deviations from the state  Commission- approved  rates in the rate sheets  attached to the Agreement?  G-14 34.2  Can either Party require,  performance of its  obligations under the  Agreement, that the other  Party adhere to any  requirement other than  those expressly stipulated  rates should be corrected by retroactive true-up to the effective date of the  true-up to the effective date of the  Agreement within 30 calendar days of the  date the error was identified by either Party.  NO, the Parties should not be permitted to included in the Agreement and not mandated by Applicable Law. More specifically, neither Party should, as a condition or prerequisite to such Party's performance of its obligations under the other	13	G-13		How should the Parties	Any non-negotiated deviations from ordered	Any non-negotiated deviations from
deviations from the state  Commission- approved rates in the rate sheets attached to the Agreement?  G-14 34.2  Can either Party require, as a prerequisite to performance of its obligations under the Agreement, that the other Party adhere to any requirement other than those expressly stipulated  date the error was identified by either Party.  NO, the Parties should not be permitted to included in the Agreement and not mandated by Applicable Law. More specifically, neither Party should, as a performance of its obligations under the true-up to the effective date of the				deal with non-negotiated	rates should be corrected by retroactive	ordered rates should be changed by
G-14 34.2 Can either Party require, as a prerequisite to performance of its obligations under the Agreement, that the other Party adhere to any requirement other than those expressly stipulated  Commission- approved date the error was identified by either Party.  Agreement within 30 calendar days of the date the error was identified by either Party.  NO, the Parties should not be permitted to included in the Agreement and not mandated by Applicable Law. More specifically, neither Party should, as a condition or prerequisite to such Party's performance of its obligations under the Agreement, impose or insist upon the other	·	•		deviations from the state	true-up to the effective date of the	amendment of the agreement upon
G-14 34.2 Can either Party require, as a prerequisite to performance of its obligations under the Agreement, that the other Party adhere to any requirement other than those expressly stipulated  G-14 34.2 Can either Party require, as a prerequisite to performance of its obligations under the performance hostage to terms not included in the Agreement and not mandated by Applicable Law. More specifically, neither Party should, as a prerequirement other than those expressly stipulated Agreement, impose or insist upon the other	-			Commission- approved	Agreement within 30 calendar days of the	discovery by a party and should be
G-14 34.2 Can either Party require, as a prerequisite to performance of its obligations under the Agreement, that the other requirement other than those expressly stipulated  G-14 34.2 Can either Party require, as a prerequisite to performance of its obligation the Agreement?  NO, the Parties should not be permitted to hold performance hostage to terms not included in the Agreement and not mandated by Applicable Law. More specifically, neither Party should, as a condition or prerequisite to such Party's performance of its obligations under the Agreement, impose or insist upon the other				rates in the rate sheets	date the error was identified by either Party.	applied prospectively regardless of
G-14 34.2 Can either Party require,  as a prerequisite to performance of its obligations under the Agreement, that the other Party adhere to any requirement other than those expressly stipulated  Can either Party require, hold performance hostage to terms not included in the Agreement and not mandated by Applicable Law. More specifically, neither Party should, as a condition or prerequisite to such Party's performance of its obligations under the Agreement, impose or insist upon the other				attached to the Agreement?		whether the rate increases or decreases
G-14 34.2 Can either Party require, as a prerequisite to performance of its obligations under the Agreement, that the other Party adhere to any requirement other than those expressly stipulated  Agreement, impose or insist upon the other						as a result of such amendment.
hold performance hostage to terms not included in the Agreement and not mandated by Applicable Law. More specifically, neither Party should, as a condition or prerequisite to such Party's performance of its obligations under the Agreement, impose or insist upon the other	14	G-14	34.2	Can either Party require,	NO, the Parties should not be permitted to	YES. The Parties are free to negotiate
included in the Agreement and not  mandated by Applicable Law. More specifically, neither Party should, as a condition or prerequisite to such Party's  than performance of its obligations under the pulated Agreement, impose or insist upon the other				as a prerequisite to	hold performance hostage to terms not	with each other as they may with third
the mandated by Applicable Law. More specifically, neither Party should, as a condition or prerequisite to such Party's performance of its obligations under the Agreement, impose or insist upon the other				performance of its	included in the Agreement and not	parties. Neither Party should use this
specifically, neither Party should, as a condition or prerequisite to such Party's performance of its obligations under the Agreement, impose or insist upon the other				obligations under the	mandated by Applicable Law. More	agreement to interfere with a third
condition or prerequisite to such Party's performance of its obligations under the Agreement, impose or insist upon the other				Agreement, that the other	specifically, neither Party should, as a	party's contractual rights and
performance of its obligations under the Agreement, impose or insist upon the other				Party adhere to any	condition or prerequisite to such Party's	obligations.
				requirement other than	performance of its obligations under the	
				those expressly stipulated	Agreement, impose or insist upon the other	

as expressly stipulated in this Ag as otherwise mandated by Applicable outh changes a more of one or more of more of BellSouth's Guides would striff, in writing, if it tagree to the change to the Agreement to incorporate such changes to the Agreement be discriminatory is to BellSouth striff have on the ent?  To incur a material once of BellSouth's Guides would negotiate an amendment to incorporate such change, BellSouth should negotiate an amendment to incorporate such changes to the Agreement to incorporate such changes are inconsistent wiprovisions of the Agreement, or a unreasonable or discriminatory, to the feat in the ent. What effect the obligations are inconsistent wiprovisions of the Agreement, or a unreasonable or discriminatory, to the feat in the ent. What effect ent?  To any requirement or obligation as expressly stipulated in this Ag as otherwise mandated by Applit NO, if the contemplated change in more of BellSouth's Guides would negotiate an amendment to incorporate such contemplated change.  Agreement to incorporate such contemplated change in more of BellSouth should negotiate an amendment to incorporate such contemplated change.  NO, if the contemplated change in once of BellSouth should negotiate an amendment to incorporate such contemplated change.  Agreement to incorporate such contemplated change in place of BellSouth should negotiate an amendment to incorporate such contemplated change in place of BellSouth should negotiate an amendment to incorporate such contemplated change in place of BellSouth should negotiate an amendment to incorporate such contemplated change in place of BellSouth should negotiate an amendment to incorporate such contemplate an amendment to incorporate suc		NEGALE (ALIACHYEN)		La War and a second of the persons	,	
as expressly stipulated in this Agreement or obligation other than as expressly stipulated in this Agreement or as otherwise mandated by Applicable Law.  NO, if the contemplated change to one or more of BellSouth's Guides would cause of incur a material cost or expense to implement the change, BellSouth and CLEC should negotiate an amendment to the Agreement to incorporate such change.  Agreement to incorporate such change.  Agreement to incorporate such change.  NO, unreasonable and/or discriminatory revisions to BellSouth's tariffs should not affect the obligations set forth in the Agreement. Specifically, to the extent that tariff changes are inconsistent with the provisions of the Agreement, or are unreasonable or discriminatory, they should not supersede the Agreement. Such changes may only become part of the Agreement by written amendment negotiated and/or arbitrated by the Parties.  The Applicable Law.  NO, if the contemplated change to one or more of BellSouth's Guides would cause implement to incur a material cost or expense to implement to the change.  Agreement to incorporate such change.  NO, unreasonable and/or discriminatory revisions to BellSouth's tariffs should not affect the obligations set forth in the provisions of the Agreement, or are unreasonable or discriminatory, they should not supersede the Agreement. Such changes may only become part of the Agreement by written amendment negotiated and/or arbitrated by the Parties.				「神神神神神神神神神神神神神神神神神神神神神神神神神神神神神神神神神神神神		
as expressly stipulated in this Agreement or obligation other than as expressly stipulated in this Agreement or as otherwise mandated by Applicable Law.  NO, if the contemplated change to one or more of BellSouth's Guides would cause cles that would cause cles that would cause cles that would cause cles that would cause cles the change, bellSouth and CLEC should negotiate an amendment to the Agreement to incorporate such change.  Issue Statement:  The obligations set the Agreement be affect the obligations set forth in the Agreement be affect the obligations set forth in the Agreement. Specifically, to the extent that tariff changes are inconsistent with the provisions of the Agreement, or are unreasonable or discriminatory, they should not supersede the Agreement. Such changes may only become part of the Agreement by written amendment negotiated and/or arbitrated by the Parties.	Agreement by amendment.		Agreement, what effect should subsequent changes to the tariff have on the Agreement?			
as expressly stipulated in this Agreement or obligation other than as expressly stipulated in this Agreement or more of more of more of BellSouth's Guides would cause cuse or incur a material once or more of BellSouth's Guides would cause cuse once or more of BellSouth's Guides would cause cuse once or more of BellSouth's Guides would cause cuse once or more of BellSouth's Guides would cause cuse once or more of BellSouth's Guides would cause cuse once or more of BellSouth's Guides would cause cuse once or more of BellSouth's Guides would cause cuse once or more of BellSouth's Guides would cause cuse once or more of BellSouth's Guides would cause cuse once or more of BellSouth's Guides would cause cuse once or more of BellSouth and CLEC should negotiate an amendment to the Agreement to incorporate such change.  State Statement:  NO, unreasonable and/or discriminatory the extent that the Agreement be affect the obligations set forth in the Agreement. Specifically, to the extent that tariff changes are inconsistent with the provisions of the Agreement. Such changes may only become part of the Agreement by written amendment	occur after the Agreement becor	negotiated and/or arbitrated by the Parties.	referenced in the			
as expressly stipulated in this Agreement or obligation other than as expressly stipulated in this Agreement or as otherwise mandated by Applicable Law.  NO, if the contemplated change to one or more of BellSouth's Guides would cause clean the change, and clean the change, bellSouth and clean to the change, and clean the change, and clean to the change to implement the change, bellSouth and clean to the change, and clean to the change to implement to incorporate such change.  Agreement to incorporate such change.  Agreement to incorporate such change.  NO, unreasonable and/or discriminatory revisions to BellSouth's tariffs should not affect the obligations set forth in the agreement. Specifically, to the extent that tariff changes are inconsistent with the unreasonable or discriminatory, they should not supersede the Agreement. Such	such revisions. There should be require-ment that tariff revisions	Agreement by written amendment	Statement: If a tariff is			
to any requirement or obligation other than as expressly stipulated in this Agreement or on oth changes a nore of one or more of more of BellSouth's Guides would cause controlled cause contemplated change to one or more of BellSouth's Guides would cause concur a material cost or expense to implement the change, BellSouth and CLEC expense to implement to incorporate such change.  The CLEC notify the contemplated change to one or more of BellSouth's Guides would cause concur a material cost or expense to implement the change, BellSouth and CLEC should negotiate an amendment to the Agreement to incorporate such change.  The Statement:  NO, unreasonable and/or discriminatory revisions to BellSouth's tariffs should not affect the obligations set forth in the Agreement, or are provisions of the Agreement, or are unreasonable or discriminatory, they should		not supersede the Agreement. Such				
to any requirement or obligation other than as expressly stipulated in this Agreement or as otherwise mandated by Applicable Law.  NO, if the contemplated change to one or more of BellSouth's Guides would cause CLEC to incur a material cost or expense to implement the change, BellSouth and CLEC should negotiate an amendment to the Agreement to incorporate such change.  NO, unreasonable and/or discriminatory revisions to BellSouth's tariffs should not affect the obligations set forth in the Agreement. Specifically, to the extent that tariff changes are inconsistent with the		provisions of the Agreement, or are unreasonable or discriminatory, they should	tariffs?			
to any requirement or obligation other than as expressly stipulated in this Agreement or as otherwise mandated by Applicable Law.  NO, if the contemplated change to one or more of BellSouth's Guides would cause CLEC to incur a material cost or expense to implement the change, BellSouth and CLEC should negotiate an amendment to the Agreement to incorporate such change.  NO, unreasonable and/or discriminatory revisions to BellSouth's tariffs should not affect the obligations set forth in the Agreement. Specifically, to the extent that	_	tariff changes are inconsistent with the	and/or discriminatory			
to any requirement or obligation other than as expressly stipulated in this Agreement or as otherwise mandated by Applicable Law.  NO, if the contemplated change to one or more of BellSouth's Guides would cause CLEC to incur a material cost or expense to implement the change, BellSouth and CLEC should negotiate an amendment to the Agreement to incorporate such change.  NO, unreasonable and/or discriminatory revisions to BellSouth's tariffs should not affect the obligations set forth in the		Agreement. Specifically, to the extent that	impacted by unreasonable			
to any requirement or obligation other than as expressly stipulated in this Agreement or as otherwise mandated by Applicable Law.  NO, if the contemplated change to one or more of BellSouth's Guides would cause CLEC to incur a material cost or expense to implement the change, BellSouth and CLEC should negotiate an amendment to the Agreement to incorporate such change.  NO, unreasonable and/or discriminatory revisions to BellSouth's tariffs should not	Agreement, the terms of that tari	affect the obligations set forth in the	forth in the Agreement be			
south changes a ides that would cause to incur a material rexpense to ment the change, the CLEC notify with, in writing, if it of agree to the e?  Issue Statement:  to any requirement or obligation other than as expressly stipulated in this Agreement or as otherwise mandated by Applicable Law.  NO, if the contemplated change to one or more of BellSouth's Guides would cause implement the change, BellSouth and CLEC should negotiate an amendment to the Agreement to incorporate such change.  NO, unreasonable and/or discriminatory	tariff that is referenced in the	revisions to BellSouth's tariffs should not	Should the obligations set			
to any requirement or obligation other than as expressly stipulated in this Agreement or as otherwise mandated by Applicable Law.  South changes a ion of one or more of ion of one or more of incur a material cost or expense to incur a material cost or expense to implement the change, the CLEC notify with, in writing, if it tot agree to the	If a service is purchased pursuant	NO, unreasonable and/or discriminatory	CLEC Issue Statement:	45.3	G-16	16
to any requirement or obligation other than as expressly stipulated in this Agreement or as otherwise mandated by Applicable Law.  South changes a South changes a NO, if the contemplated change to one or more of BellSouth's Guides would cause ides that would cause CLEC to incur a material cost or expense to implement the change, and the CLEC notify outh, in writing, if it to any requirement or obligation other than as expressly stipulated in this Agreement or as otherwise mandated by Applicable Law.  NO, if the contemplated change to one or more of BellSouth's Guides would cause implement the change, BellSouth and CLEC should negotiate an amendment to the Agreement to incorporate such change.			change?			<b>i</b>
south changes a ides that would cause to incur a material rexpense to nent the change, the CLEC notify with, in writing, if it			does not agree to the	•		
to any requirement or obligation other than as expressly stipulated in this Agreement or as otherwise mandated by Applicable Law.  South changes a NO, if the contemplated change to one or more of more of BellSouth's Guides would cause ides that would cause (CLEC to incur a material cost or expense to implement the change, and the CLEC notify)  to any requirement or obligation other than as expressly stipulated in this Agreement or as otherwise mandated by Applicable Law.  NO, if the contemplated change to one or more of BellSouth's Guides would cause implement the change, BellSouth and CLEC should negotiate an amendment to the Agreement to incorporate such change.			BellSouth, in writing, if it			
to any requirement or obligation other than as expressly stipulated in this Agreement or as otherwise mandated by Applicable Law.  South changes a NO, if the contemplated change to one or more of more of BellSouth's Guides would cause ides that would cause (CLEC to incur a material implement the change, BellSouth and CLEC should negotiate an amendment to the change.  Agreement to incorporate such change	30 000	q	should the CLEC notify			
to any requirement or obligation other than as expressly stipulated in this Agreement or as otherwise mandated by Applicable Law.  South changes a NO, if the contemplated change to one or more of more of BellSouth's Guides would cause ides that would cause Texpense to  to any requirement or obligation other than as expressly stipulated in this Agreement or as otherwise mandated by Applicable Law.  CLEC to incur a material cost or expense to implement the change, BellSouth and CLEC should negotiate an amendment to the	to do so	Agreement to incorporate such change.	implement the change.			
to any requirement or obligation other than as expressly stipulated in this Agreement or as otherwise mandated by Applicable Law.  South changes a NO, if the contemplated change to one or more of more of BellSouth's Guides would cause ides that would cause CLEC to incur a material implement the change, BellSouth and CLEC		should negotiate an amendment to the	cost or expense to			
to any requirement or obligation other than as expressly stipulated in this Agreement or as otherwise mandated by Applicable Law.  South changes a NO, if the contemplated change to one or more of more of BellSouth's Guides would cause ides that would cause		implement the change, BellSouth and CLE	CLEC to incur a material			
to any requirement or obligation other than as expressly stipulated in this Agreement or as otherwise mandated by Applicable Law.  South changes a NO, if the contemplated change to one or more of more of BellSouth's Guides would cause			its Guides that would cause			
to any requirement or obligation other than as expressly stipulated in this Agreement or as otherwise mandated by Applicable Law.  South changes a NO, if the contemplated change to one or	CLEC's equally. If BellSouth al		provision of one or more of			
as expressly stipulated in this Ag			If BellSouth changes a	45.2	G-15	15
to any requirement or obligation as expressly stipulated in this Ag		as otherwise mandated by Applicable Law				
ned by Applicable to any requirement or obligation	<del>-</del>	as expressly stipulated in this Agreement o	Law?			
		to any requirement or obligation other than	mandated by Applicable		***************************************	
Party's (or any of its End Users')	ř.	Party's (or any of its End Users') adherence	in the Agreement or		,	
	BELLSOUTH POSITION	S CLEC POSITION CLEC	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	8	ISSUE	Nati

No.	ISSUE # *	S	resolved  resolved	CLEC POSITION	BELLSOUTH POSITION
18	1-2	11.6.6	This issue has been		
			resolved.		
1. Sec. 1. Sec		Section of the second section of the section of the second section of the section of	NETWC	NETWORK ELEMENTS (ATTACHMENT 2)	《新典》的《古·李明明明》、《新典》、《西·西·西·西·西·西·西·西·西·西·西·西·西·西·西·西·西·西·西·
19	2-1	1.1	This issue has been		The state of the s
			resolved.		
20	2-2	1.2	This issue has been		
!			resolved.		
21	2-3	1.4.2	This issue has been		
			resolved.		
22	2-4	1.4.3	(A) Should CLEC be	(A) NO, CLEC should not be required to	(A) No. A CLEC should be allowed to
			required to submit a	submit a BFR/NBR to convert a UNE or	submit a spreadsheet consisting of
			UNE or Combination (or	Services or tariffed BellSouth access	circuits to be converted from a UNE or a
			part thereof) to other	services. Rather, the CLECs should be	UNE combination to a wholesale
			services or tariffed	allowed to submit an LSR or ASR, as	tariffed service. BellSouth should
			BellSouth access services?	appropriate.	accept a spreadsheet (and a commingling ordered document that
			(B) In the event of such	(B) For a conversion of a UNE or	indicates which part is to be filled as a
			conversion, what rates	Combination (or part thereof) to Other Services or tariffed BallSouth occurs	UNE, if applicable) and convert the
			snoma appry:	services, the non-recurring charges should	combination to wholesale tariffed
				be as set forth in Exhibit A of Attachment 2	services in total or in part.
				addition, such charges should be	(B) There should be no charge for the
				quired to	conversion itself, but other applicable
				_	charges should apply.
				only, billing change/records update only,	
		-		Civ.).	
				[Revised 4/1/04]	

															-			-									23	NO.
								_								-					•						2-5	ISSUE.
						•														<u> </u>							1.5	တ
																												S
					circuits?	rearra	re-ter	the ev	condi	(C) F		order	rearr	does i	BellS	(B) K		arran	those	obliga	Party	this A	with.	or are	longe	Comt	(A) I	2
					ts:	rearrangements of	re-termination, or physical	the event of a termination,	conditions should apply in	(C) What rates, terms and		order within 30 days?	rearrange or disconnect	does not submit a	BellSouth take if CLEC	(B) What recourse may	į	arrangements?	those service	obligation of identifying	Party should bear the	this Agreement, which	with, the terms set forth in	or are not in compliance	longer offered pursuant to,	Combinations are no	(A) In the event UNEs or	NRESO
						ents of	on, or j	a termi	hould c	ites, tei		30 da;	r disco	mit a	ke if C	course		ts?	10	fidenti	l bear	ent, wh	ms set	i comp	ed pur	ns are	vent U	UNRESOLVED ISSUE
							physica	ination	upply ii	rms an		ys?	nnect		LEC	may				ifying	the	iich	forth i	liance	suant to	no	NEs or	SSUE
	or	sei		Σ, ę	Ag Ag	 of						l <sub>o</sub>	ds	di	th	se		di	(B		to	ar		A		nc		
	or re-terminated.	services that are being physically rearranged	Disconnect charges should not apply to	Exhibit A of Attachment 2 should apply.	Agreement, non-recurring charges for the	of circuits to comply with the	termination or other physical rearrangement	(C) For arrangements that require a re-		Agreement.	compliance with, the terms set forth in the	longer offered pursuant to, or are not in	specific service arrangements	dispute regarding the identification of	that CLEC has not notified BellSouth of a	services without further notice, provided	may disconnect such arrangements or	disconnect order within 30 days, BellSouth	(B) If CLEC does not submit a rearrange or		to other services pursuant to Attachment 2.	arrangements that it insists be transitioned	obligation to identify the specific service	Agreement, it should be BellSouth's	compliance with, the terms set forth in the	no longer offered pursuant to, or are not in	(A) In t	
	nınatec	that are	ect chai	of At	nt, nor	s to co	on or c	arrange		nt.	ice wit	fered p	service	egardii	C has	withou	onnect	ct orde	LEC do		service	nents th	n to id	ent, it s	nce wit	r offer	In the event UNEs or Combinations are	C
	:-	being	rges sh	tachm	1-recur	mply v	ther p	ements			h, the t	oursua	arrang	ng the	not no	it furth	such:	er with	oes no		s pursi	nat it in	entify	hould	h, the	ed pur	nt UNI	CLEC POSITION
		physi	ould n	ent 2 si	ring cl	with th	hysica	that re			erms s	nt to, o	gement	identif	tified I	er noti	arrango	in 30 c	t subm		ant to	nsists t	the spo	be Bel	terms :	suant t	s or C	POSITI
		cally r	ot app	hould :	narges	e term	l rearra	equire			et fort	r are n	is as be	ication	3ellSo	ce, pro	ements	lays, B	it a rea		Attac	e tran	ecific s	<b>ISouth</b>	set for	o, or a	ombin	ON
		earrang	ly to	apply.	for the	terms of the	angeme	a re-			h in th	ot in	as being no	1 of	uth of a	vided	or	ellSou	arrange		hment	sitione	service	ľš	th in th	re not	ations	
<u>a</u> a	, p 0 z		<u> </u>					_		s				_		_			-								_	
nd con greeme	rearrangement is required in or comply with a tariff or separate agreement, the applicable rates,	termination or other physical	\ttachn	UNE(s) from Exhibit A of this	with the terms of this Agreement	earrang	termination or other physical	C) For		services without further notice	lisconn	this Agreement, BellSouth may	alenda	received by the thirty-first (31st)	hose a	B) If o		not violating the agreement.	hould	oursuar	be transitioned to other services	specific	CLEC'	orth in	not in c	are no l	(A) In 1	
ent sha	gement with a ent, the	tion or	nent w	from	e terms	gemen:	tion or	arrang		s withc	nect the	reemei	ır day a	d by th	rrangei	rders t		lating 1	be resp	nt to A	sitione	servi	s oblig	the A	compli	longer	the eve	Bcu
s of sud	t is req tariff appli	other	ill app	enarge Exhibi	of thi	t of cir	r other	gement		out fur	ose arr	nt, Bel	after th	ne thirt	ments	o rean	1	the agr	ponsib	ttachr	d to ot	ce arra	gation	greem	ance w	offere	en UN	LSou
ch tarii y. Ap	uired i or sep cable r	physic	ly. To	s for the	s Agre	cuits to	physic	s that		ther no	angem	<b>ISouth</b>	ю Effe	y-first	or serv	ange (		eemen	le for 6	nent 2.	her sei	ngeme	to iden	ent, it	/ith, th	d pursi	Es or (	H Pos
and conditions of such tariff or separate agreement shall apply. Applicable	rearrangement is required in order to comply with a tariff or separate agreement, the applicable rates, terms	<u>a</u>	the ex	UNE(s) from Exhibit A of this	ement	rearrangement of circuits to comply	<u>a</u>	(C) For arrangements that require a re-		tice.	disconnect those arrangements or	may	ctive L	(31 <sup>st</sup> )	those arrangements or services are not	(B) If orders to rearrange or disconnect		<del></del>	should be responsible for ensuring it is	pursuant to Attachment 2. CLEC	vices	nts the	CLEC's obligation to identify the	forth in the Agreement, it should be	not in compliance with, the terms set	uant to	ombii	NOITI
parate le	er to erms		Attachment will apply. To the extent re-	licable	. ,	oly		e a re-			-3		calendar day after the Effective Date of		e not	onnect		(	ng it is	( )		specific service arrangements that must	O	be	s set	are no longer offered pursuant to, or are	(A) In the even UNEs or Combinations	BELLSOUTH POSITION
	·	<u> </u>	<u>'</u>								_																	

	25	24	
	σ,		NO.
	2-7	2-6	ISSUE
	1.6.1	1.5.1	
	What rates, terms and conditions should apply for Rouline Network Modifications pursuant to 47 C F R. § 51 319(a)(8) and (e)(5)?	This issue has been resolved.	UNRESOLVED ISSUE
[Revised 4/1/04]	If BellSouth has anticipated such Routine Network Modifications and performs them during normal operations, then BellSouth should perform such Routine Network Modifications at no additional charge and within its standard provisioning intervals. If BellSouth has not anticipated a requested or necessary network modification as being a Routine Network Modification and, as such, has not recovered the costs of such Routine Network Modifications in the rates set forth in Exhibit A of Attachment 2, then BellSouth should notify CLEC of the required Routine Network Modification and should request that CLEC submit a Service Inquiry to have the work performed. Each unique request should be handled as a project on an individual case basis. BellSouth should provide a TELRIC-compliant price quote for the request, and upon receipt of a firm order from CLEC, BellSouth should perform the Routine Network Modification within a reasonable and nondiscriminatory interval.		CLEC POSITION
nas not recovered the costs of such	BellSouth will perform Routine Network Modifications in accordance with FCC 47 C.F.R. 51.319(a)(8) and (e)(5). Except to the extent expressly provided otherwise in Attachment 2, if BellSouth has anticipated such Routine Network Modifications and performs them during normal operations and has recovered the costs for performing such modifications through the rates set forth in Exhibit A of Attachment 2, then BellSouth shall perform such Routine Network Modifications at no additional charge. Routine Network Modifications shall be performed within the intervals established for the UNE and subject to the performance measurements and associated remedies set forth in Attachment 9 to the extent such Routine Network Modifications were anticipated in the setting of such intervals. If BellSouth has not anticipated a requested network modification as being a Routine Network Modification and		disconnect charges will apply to a UNE/Combination that is rearranged or disconnected.

No, the recurring charges for UNEs, Combinations, and Other Services	YES, the recurring charges for UNEs, Combinations, and Other Services should be	Should the recurring charges for UNEs,	1.9.4	2-10	28
	[Revised 4/1/04]				
jurisdiction.	Junganetron of the toop.	SEL MICE:			
be billed from the same jurisdictional	multiplexing should be billed from the	lower or higher bandwidth		<u>.</u>	
central office Channel Interface should	segments at the same bandwidth, the	(Agreement or tariff) of the			
the higher bandwidth service. The	the commingled circuit involves multiple	jurisdictional authorization			
authorization (Agreement or tariff) as	tariff) as the lower bandwidth service. If	be billed per the			
from the same jurisdictional	jurisdictional authorization (Agreement or	the multiplexing equipment			
multiplexing equipment should be billed	equipment should be billed from the same	commingled circuit, should			
attached to a commingled circuit, the	a commingled circuit, the multiplexing	equipment is attached to a	1.0.0	2-7	1
When multipleving equipment is		Section 2/1 of the Act?	1 0 2	ာ ၁	37
	[Revised 4/1/04]	available pursuant to		-	
Section 271 of the Act.		that it is obligated to make			
elements or other offerings under	available pursuant to Section 271 of the Act.	element or other offering			
combinations with services, network	offering that it is obligated to make	with any service, network			
requirement to commingle UNEs or	any service, network element, or other	UNEs or Combinations			
the Triennial Review Order, there is no	"commingle" UNEs or Combinations with	required to commingle			
No, consistent with the FCC's errata to	YES, BellSouth should be required to	Should BellSouth be	7.1	2-8	26
Network Modification.					
BellSouth shall perform the Routine					
receipt of payment from CLEC,					
price quote for the request, and upon		<del></del>			
case basis. BellSouth will provide a					
handled as a project on an individual					
performed. Each request will be					
service inquiry (SI) to have the work					
Attachment, then CLEC must submit a					
rates set forth in Exhibit A of this					
Routine Network Modifications in the					
BELIXOUHHOSHION	CLEE FOSITION	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	8	155UE	No.
である。これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、	一人のでは、これのようななことでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これ				MITTA

ı		
31	30	<b>NO.</b>
2-13	2-11 2-12	#
2.1.1.2	2.1.1	
Should the Agreement: Should the Agreement require CLEC to purchase the entire bandwidth of a Loop, even in cases where such purchase is not required by Applicable Law?  BellSouth Issue Statement: Should BellSouth be required to unbundled the low frequency portion of the	resolved. Should the Agreement include a provision declaring that facilities that terminate to another carrier's switch or premises, a cell site, Mobile Switching Center or base station do not constitute loops?	Combinations and Other Services be prorated based upon the number of days that the UNEs are in service?
NO, CLEC should not be required to purchase the entire bandwidth of a Loop in cases where Applicable Law permits line sharing, line splitting or the ability of a customer to retain BellSouth xDSL-based services while purchasing voice serves from a CLEC using a UNE loop.  [Revised 4/1/04]	NO, the Agreement should not include a provision declaring that facilities that terminate to another carrier's switch or premises, a cell site, Mobile Switching Center, or base station do not constitute loops. Such a provision would be inconsistent with the FCC's Triennial Review Order.	prorated based upon the number of days that the UNEs, Combinations, and Other Services are in service.
Yes. CLEC should be required to purchase the entire bandwidth of a Loop. In paragraph 270 of the TRO, the FCC specifically denied an effort to separate the bandwidth into upper and lower bands. Moreover, this issue is not appropriate for arbitration in this proceeding because it involves a request by the CLECs that is not encompassed within BellSouth's obligations pursuant to Section 251 of the Act.	Yes. By the FCC's definition, a loop terminates at the End User's customer premises, not a cell site, carrier's switch/premises, mobile switching center or base station.	should be prorated based upon the number of days that the UNEs, Combinations, and Other Services are in service after a minimum period of service has expired.

	35	34	33	32	LTEM No.
					4. 1. 36. 4.4
2 0	2-17	2-16	2-15	2-14	ISSUE:
2 12 1	2.4.3, 2.4.4	2.3.3	2.2.3	2.1.2, 2.1.2.1, 2.1.2.2	8
(4) 11-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1	(A) What rates should apply to testing and dispatch performed by BellSouth in response to a CLEC trouble is ultimately found to exist?  (B) What rate should apply when BellSouth is required to dispatch to an end user location more than once due to incorrect or incomplete information?  [Issue restated by agreement of the Parties. 3/8/04]	This issue has been resolved.	Is unbundling relief provided under FCC Rule 319(a)(3) applicable to Fiber-to-the-Home Loops deployed prior to October 2, 2003?	This issue has been resolved.	Unresolved Issue
(A) I ine Conditioning should be defined in	(A) TELRIC-compliant rates to be approved by the Authority and incorporated in Exhibit A of Attachment 2 should apply to testing and dispatch performed by BellSouth in response to a CLEC trouble report and in order to confirm the working status of a UNE Loop regardless of whether the testing ultimately reveals a trouble on the Loop.  (B) TELRIC-compliant rates to be approved by the Authority and incorporated in Exhibit A of Attachment 2 should apply to testing and dispatch performed by BellSouth in response to a CLEC trouble report and in order to confirm the working status of a UNE Loop.		NO, the unbundling relief provided under FCC Rule 319(a)(3) is only applicable to Fiber-to-the-Home Loops deployed on or after October 2, 2003 (the effective date of the FCC's Triennial Review Order).		CLEC POSITION
(A) Line Conditioning is defined as	(A) The trouble determination charge from the applicable tariff should apply.  (B) The trouble determination charge from the applicable tariff should apply.		Yes, the FCC found that for Fiber-to-the-Home (FTTH) there is no impairment on a national basis and did not make this decision contingent upon a deployment date.		BELLISOUTH POSITION

38	37	Z C
2-20	2-19	##
2.12.3, 2.12.4	2.12.2	
Under what rates, terms and conditions should BellSouth be required to perform Line Conditioning to remove bridged taps?	Should the Agreement: Should the Agreement contain specific provisions limiting the availability of Line Conditioning to copper loops of 18,000 feet or less?  BellSouth Issue Statement: Should the Agreement contain specific provisions limiting the availability of load coil removal to copper loops of 18,000 feet or less?	the Agreement?  (B) What should BellSouth's obligations be with respect to line conditioning?
Any copper loop being ordered by CLEC which has over 6,000 feet of combined bridged tap will be modified, upon request from CLEC, so that the loop will have a maximum of 6,000 feet of bridged tap. This	NO, the agreement should not contain specific provisions limiting the availability of Line Conditioning to copper loops of 18,000 feet or less in length.	CFR 51.319 (a)(1)(iii)(A).  (B) BellSouth should perform line conditioning in accordance with FCC Rule 47 C.F.R. 51.319(a)(1)(iii).
For any copper loop being ordered by CLEC which has over 6,000 feet of combined bridged tap will be modified, upon request from CLEC, so that the loop will have a maximum of 6,000 feet	Yes, current industry technical standards require the placement of load coils on copper loops greater than 18,000 feet in length to support voice service and BellSouth does not remove them for BellSouth retail end users on copper loops of over 18,000 feet in length; therefore, such a modification would not constitute a routine network modification and is not required by the FCC.	BellSouth regularly undertakes to provide xDSL services to its own customers.  (B) BellSouth should perform line conditioning functions as defined in 47 C.F.R. 51.319(a)(1)(iii) to the extent the function is a routine network modification that BellSouth regularly undertakes to provide xDSL to its own customers.

39		JTEM
2-21		4 ISSUE
2.12.6		8
This issue has been resolved.		UNRESOLVED ISSUE
	modification will be performed at no additional charge to CLEC. Line conditioning orders that require the removal of other bridged tap should be performed at the rates set forth in Exhibit A of Attachment 2.	CLEC POSITION
	of bridged tap. This modification will be performed at no additional charge to CLEC. Line conditioning orders that require the removal of bridged tap that serves no network design purpose on a copper loop that will result in a combined level of bridged tap between 2,500 and 6,000 feet will be performed at the rates set forth in Exhibit A of this Attachment. CLEC may request removal of any unnecessary and nonexcessive bridged tap (bridged tap between 0 and 2,500 feet which serves no network design purpose), at rates pursuant to BellSouth's Special Construction Process contained in BellSouth's FCC No. 2 as mutually agreed to by the Parties. BellSouth is only required to perform line conditioning that it performs for its own xDSL customers and is not required to create a superior network for CLECs. Moreover, this issue is not appropriate for arbitration in this proceeding because it involves a request by the CLECs that is not encompassed within BellSouth's obligations pursuant to Section 251 of the Act.	BELLESOUTH POSITION

																													(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)
																		. <u> </u>								41		40	ITEM No.
																									·	2-23		2-22	TSSI =
																				4/1/04]	[Revised		2.16.2.3.5	2.16.23.3	2.16.2.3.2	2.16.2.3.1		2.14.3.1.1	8
required to install new	(C-D): (C) Should BellSouth be	BellSouth Issue Statement	[Revised 4/1/04]	pair" suffice? (2 16.2.3.3)	access only an "available	reasonable efforts to	CLEC to use commercially	will language obligating	service on that pair - or -	using another carrier's	CLEC is already no longer	asked to switch service to	that a customer that has	requires them to "ensure"	agree to language that	(D) Should CLECs have to		UNTW? (2 16.2.3 2)	be limited to existing	to provide access to UNTW	(C) Should the obligation	<b>D</b> ):	CLEC Issue Statement (C-		41(E) have been resolved.	Issues 41(A), 41(B), and	resolved.	This issue has been	UNRESOLVEDISSUE
[Revised 4/1/04]	thirty (30) calendar days of completion.	installations that are in progress or within	state only when the property owner objects	associated with removing access terminals	CLEC should be responsible for costs	reimbursement obligations. Specifically,	(E) YES, there should be a time limit on		"available pair" should be sufficient.	reasonable efforts to access only an	obligating CLEC to use commercially	particular pair. Rather, a provision	longer using that carrier's service on a	switch service from another carrier is no	"ensure" that a customer that has asked to	(D) CLEC should not be required to		existing UNTW.	such new or additional UNTW beyond	should be obligated to provide access to	Authority rules and orders, BellSouth	to do so in order to comply with FCC or	its own End Users, or is otherwise required	existing UNTW upon request from one of	install new or additional UNTW beyond	(C) NO, to the extent BellSouth would			CLEC POSITION
			,			the terminal.	the costs of removal upon installation of	BellSouth should be entitled to bill for	be unable to recover. Alternatively,	the terminal which it would otherwise	ability to recover the costs of removal of	There should be no limit on BellSouth's	authorization obtained by, the CLEC.	terminal at the request of, and upon the	(E) No. BellSouth is installing the		User's service.	otherwise it will disconnect the End	pair it intends to use is not active;	(D) Yes. CLEC should ensure that the		construction of a network.	modifications does not include the	the FCC's definition of routine network	build a network for CLECs. Moreover,	(C) No. BellSouth is not obligated to			BELL SOUTH POSITION

44	43	42		No.*
2-26	2-25	2-24		Issue,
3.6.5	2.18.1.4	2.17.3.5		8
This issue has been	Under what circumstances should BellSouth provide CLEC Loop Makeup information?  BellSouth Issue Statement: Under what circumstances should BellSouth be required to provide CLEC with Loop Makeup information on a facility used or controlled by another CLEC?	This issue has been resolved.	for the use of the CLEC? (2 16.2.3.2)  (D) Should the CLEC be responsible for ensuring that a customer that has asked to switch service to the CLEC is no longer obtaining BellSouth's service, or another carrier's service on that pair?	UNRESOLVED ISSUE
	BellSouth should provide CLEC Loop Makeup information on a particular loop upon request by CLEC. Such access should not be contingent upon receipt of an LOA from a third party carrier.			CLEC Position
	BellSouth should provide CLEC Loop Makeup information on a facility used or controlled by another CLEC only upon receipt of an LOA authorizing the release of that information from the CLEC using the facility.			BELLSOUTH POSITION

46	45	
		No.
2-28	2-27	ISSUE
3.10.4	3.10.3	8
CLEC Issue Statement: (A) In cases where CLEC purchases UNEs from BellSouth, should BellSouth be required not to refuse to provide DSL transport or DSL services (of any kind) to CLEC and its End Users, unless BellSouth has been expressly permitted to do so by the Authority?  (B) Where BellSouth provides such transport or services to CLEC and its	What should be CLEC's indemnification obligations under a line splitting arrangement?	UNRESOLVED ISSUE
(A) YES, in cases where CLEC purchases UNEs from BellSouth, BellSouth should not refuse to provide DSL transport or DSL services (of any kind) to CLEC and its End Users, unless BellSouth has been expressly permitted to do so by the Authority.  (B) YES, where BellSouth provides such transport or services to CLEC and its End Users, BellSouth should be required to do so without charge until such time as it produces an amendment proposal and the Parties amend this Agreement to incorporate terms that are no less favorable, in any respect, than the rates, terms and conditions pursuant to which BellSouth	If a CLEC is purchasing line splitting, and it is not the data provider, the CLEC is willing to indemnify, defend and hold harmless BellSouth from and against any claims, losses, actions, causes of action, suits, demands, damages, injury, and costs (including reasonable attorney fees) reasonably arising or resulting from the actions taken by the data provider in connection with the line splitting arrangement, except to the extent caused by BellSouth's negligence, gross negligence or willful misconduct.	CLEC POSITION
This issue (including all subparts) is not appropriate for arbitration in this proceeding because it involves a request by the CLECs that is not encompassed within BellSouth's obligations pursuant to Section 251 of the Act.  (A) No. BellSouth should not be required to provide DSL transport or DSL services over UNEs to CLEC and its End Users as BellSouth's DSLAMs are not subject to unbundling. The FCC specifically stated in paragraph 288 of the TRO that they would "not require incumbent LECs to provide unbundled access to any electronics or other	If CLEC is not the data provider, CLEC shall indemnify, defend and hold harmless BellSouth from and against any claims, losses, actions, causes of action, suits, demands, damages, injury, and costs including reasonable attorney fees, which arise out of actions related to the data provider.	BELESOUTH POSITION

														No.
(C) To the extent the obligation to provide DSL	(B) If so, what rates, terms and conditions should apply?	(A) In cases where in which a CLEC purchases UNEs from BellSouth, should BellSouth be required to provide DSL transport or DSL services (of any kind) to CLEC and its End Users?	Statement:	RellSouth Issue	such transport and services to any other entity?	which BellSouth provides	conditions pursuant to	favorable, in any respect,	terms that are no less	the Parties amend this Agreement to incorporate	amendment proposal and	such time as it produces an	BellSouth be required to do so without charge until	End Users, should
													other entity.	provides such transport and services to any
			to be included in this Agreement.	is not appropriate to require services, not mandated pursuant to Section 251,	pursuant to Section 251 of the Act and it		pursuant to Section 251 of the Act.	subject to arbitration in this proceeding as they are not services required	parties or a tariff, and should not be	commercial agreement between the	services to CLEC, they should be	(B) BellSouth elects to offer these	information."	equipment used to transmit packetized

	50	49	48	47		ITEM No.
	2-32	2-31	2-30	2-29		Issue #;
	5.2.5.2.1-7	5.2.4	4.5.5	4.2.2		S
Statement: Should the service eligibility criteria for high capacity EELs apply only to circuits provided to end users or to any CLEC customer?	Should the high capacity EEL eligibility criteria use the term "customer", as used in the FCC's rules, or "End User"?	This issue has been resolved.	This issue has been resolved.	This issue has been resolved.	does not arise pursuant to § 251 of the Act and BellSouth is willing to offer these services in compliance with Authority requirements pursuant to a separate agreement or tariff, should the obligations of the parties be included in this agreement?	Unresolved Issue
	The high capacity EEL eligibility criteria should be consistent with those set forth in the FCC's rules and should use the term "customer", as used in the FCC's rules. Use of the term "End User" may result in a deviation from the FCC rules to which CLECs are unwilling to agree.					CLEC Position
	The high capacity EEL eligibility criteria apply only to End User circuits since a loop is a component of the EEL and the FCC definition of a loop requires that it terminate to an "enduser" customer premises.					BELLISOUTH POSITION

No. #	8	Olympio de la companya de la company	
2-33	5.2.6,	(A) How often, and under	(A) BellSouth may, no more frequently
	5.2.6.1,	what circumstances, should	than on an annual basis, and only based
5.	5.2.6.2,	BellSouth be able to audit	upon cause, conduct a limited audit of
5.	5.2.6.2.1,	CLEC's records to verify	CLEC's records in order to verify
5.	5.2.6.2.3	compliance with the high	compliance with the high capacity EEL
		capacity EEL service	service eligibility criteria.
		0	(B) YES, to invoke its limited right to audit
		(B) Should there be a	CLEC's records in order to verify
		notice requirement for	compliance with the high capacity EEL
		BellSouth to conduct an	service eligibility criteria, BellSouth should
		audit and what should the	send a Notice of Audit to CLEC, identifying
		notice include?	the particular circuits for which BellSouth
			alleges non-compliance and the cause upon
		(C) Who should conduct	which BellSouth rests its allegations. The
		the audit and how should	Notice of Audit should also include all
		the audit be performed?	supporting documentation upon which
		,	BellSouth establishes the cause that forms
			the basis of BellSouth's allegations of
			noncompliance. Such Notice of Audit
			should be delivered to CLEC with all
			supporting documentation no less than
			thirty (30) days prior to the date upon which
-			BellSouth seeks to commence an audit.
,			
			(C) The audit should be conducted by a
			third party independent auditor mutually
			agreed-upon by the Parties and retained and
			paid for by BellSouth. The audit should
			commence at a mutually agreeable location
			(or locations) no sooner than thirty (30)
			days after the narties have reached

52		TIEM No.
2-34		ISSUE #
5.2.6.2.3 [Revised 4/1/04]		8
Under what circumstances should CLEC be required to reimburse BellSouth for the cost of the independent auditor?		UNRESOLVED ISSUE
As expressly set forth in the FCC's Triennial Review Order, in the event the auditor's report concludes that CLEC did not comply in all material respects with the service eligibility criteria, CLEC shall reimburse BellSouth for the cost of the	agreement on the auditor. In addition, the audit should be performed in accordance with the standards established by the American Institute for Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) which will require the auditor to perform an "examination engagement" and issue an opinion regarding CLEC's compliance with the high capacity EEL eligibility criteria. AICPA standards and other requirements related to determining the independence of an auditor will govern the audit of requesting carrier compliance. The concept of materiality should govern this audit; the independent auditor's report should conclude whether or the extent to which CLEC complied in all material respects with the applicable service eligibility criteria. Consistent with standard auditing practices, such audits should require compliance testing designed by the independent auditor, which typically includes an examination of a sample selected in accordance with the independent auditor's judgment.  [Revised 4/1/04]	CLEC POSITION
As expressly set forth in the FCC's Triennial Review Order, in the event the auditor's report concludes that CLEC failed to comply in all material respects with the service eligibility criteria (meaning that CLEC must have		BELLSOUTH POSITION

56	55	54	53		ITEM
2-38	2-37	2-36	2-35		ISSUE #
7.2, 7.3	6.4.2	6.1.1.1	6.1.1		8
Should BellSouth's obligation to provide signaling link transport and SS7 interconnection at TELRIC-based rates be limited to circumstances in which BellSouth is required to provide and is	What terms should govern CLEC access to test and splice Dark Fiber Transport?	This issue has been resolved.	This issue has been resolved.	[Issue restated by agreement of the Parties. 3/8/04]	UNRESOLVED ISSUE
NO, BellSouth's Section 251(c)(2) obligation to provide signaling link transport and SS7 interconnection at TELRIC-based rates should not be limited to circumstances in which BellSouth is required to provide and is providing to CLEC unbundled access to Local Circuit Switching.	CLEC should be able to splice and test Dark Fiber Transport obtained from BellSouth at any technically feasible point, using CLEC or CLEC-designated personnel. BellSouth must provide appropriate interfaces to allow splicing and testing of Dark Fiber.			independent auditor.	CLECPOSITION
Yes. The FCC in its TRO clearly stated that this should be the case in that "competitive LECs are no longer impaired without access to the incumbent LECs' signaling network as a UNE."	BellSouth shall provide appropriate interfaces to allow testing of Dark Fiber. The FCC in its TRO has defined splicing of cable as a routine network modification that is required to be performed by BellSouth, not the CLEC. Subsequent to CLEC acceptance of Dark Fiber, BellSouth should allow the CLEC access to the Dark Fiber at its end points for testing. If a Dark Fiber trouble occurs thereafter, the CLEC should report the trouble to BellSouth and BellSouth will isolate and correct the trouble.			complied with each and every one of the service eligibility criteria and actually be entitled to the EEL), CLEC shall reimburse BellSouth for the cost of the independent auditor.	BELLSOUTH POSITION

58	57	No.
2-40	2-39	ISSUE.
9.3.5	7.4	<b>~</b>
Should LIDB charges be subject to application of	CLEC Issue Statement: Should the Parties be obligated to perform CNAM queries and pass such information on all calls exchanged between them, regardless of whether that would require BellSouth to query a third party database provider?  BellSouth Issue Statement: (A) Are the Parties legally obligated to perform CNAM queries and pass such information on all calls exchanged between them, including cases that would require the party providing the information to query a third party database provider?  (B) If so, which party should bear the cost?	providing to CLEC unbundled access to Local Circuit Switching?
No, LIDB charges should not be subject to application of jurisdictional factors.	YES, the Parties should be obligated to perform CNAM queries and pass such information on all calls exchanged between them, regardless of whether that would require BellSouth to query a third party database provider.	Revised 4/1/04]
Yes. Access to LIDB "supports carrier provision of such services as	This issue (including all subparts) is not appropriate for arbitration in this proceeding because it involves a request by the CLECs that is not encompassed within BellSouth's obligations pursuant to Section 251 of the Act.  (A) BellSouth is only legally obligated to provide access to its CNAM database as required by the FCC. There is no legal obligation on either Party's part to query other such databases.  (B) If BellSouth elects to perform this function for the CLECs, it should be pursuant to separately negotiated rates, terms and conditions and is not appropriately raised as an issue in a Section 251 arbitration.	Bell South Position

	61					,	60			59							ITEM No.
	3-2						3-1			2-41						1	ISSUE #
(NSC),	9.6 (KMC),			3.3.3 XSP)	NVX)	NSC,	3.3.4			14.1						And desired to the second seco	Ş
(B) Should BellSouth be	of a global outage?	the CLEC be permitted to connect to BellSouth's switch?	nterconnection?  BellSouth Issue  Statement: How should	or any other technically feasible means of	switch via a Cross Connect	to connect to BellSouth's	CLEC Issue Statement:	INTER	resolved.	This issue has been	,			r		jurisdictional factors?	UNRESOLVED ISSUE
group.	impact an entire market or all traffic hetween two carriers or an entire trunk			a Cross Connect or any other technically feasible means of interconnection.	interconnect to the other Party's switch via	center ( <i>i.e.</i> , switch location), such Party may	YES, in the event that a Party's Point of	INTERCONNECTION (ATTACHMENT 3)									CLEC POSITION.
, (	outage is an outage consisting of an entire trunk group.	are located in two different office separated by many miles.	Authority rules and orders. A Cross Connect may not always be technically feasible, such as in the instance that the CLEC's switch and the BellSouth switch	network at any technically feasible point as defined by applicable FCC and	CLEC to interconnect to BellSouth's	Attachment 3, BellSouth will permit the	Yes. Pursuant to the language that the	1. ∽ે			jurisdictional factors would the proper rates be applied to the various call volumes.	with local exchange, toll and other telecommunications services."	services are provided in conjunction	Number Screening, Calling Card Fraud and Public Telephone Check. These	Calling Card Validation, Billing	Originating Line Number Screening,	BELL SOUTH POSITION

<del></del>		897 k. <b>91</b>
62		TTEM No.
3-3		ISSUE.
10.9.5 (KMC), 10.7.4 (NSC), 10.7.4 (NVX), 10.12.4 (XSP)	9.6 (NVX, XSP)	8
What provisions should apply regarding failure to provide accurate and detailed usage data necessary for the billing and collection of access revenues?  [Issue restated by agreement of the Parties. 3/8/04]	required to provide upon request, for any trunk group outage that has occurred 3 or more times in a 60 day period, a written root cause analysis report?  (C)(1) What target interval should apply for the delivery of such reports?  (C) (2) What target interval should apply for reports related to global outages?  [Issue restated by agreement of the Parties.]	UNRESOLVED ISSUE
In the event that either Party fails to provide accurate and detailed switched access usage data to the other Party within 90 days after the recording date and the receiving Party is unable to bill and/or collect access revenues due to the sending Party's failure to provide such data within said time period, then the Party failing to send the specified data should be liable to the other Party in an amount equal to the unbillable or uncollectible revenues	(B) YES, upon request, BellSouth should provide a written root cause analysis report for all global outages, and for any trunk group outage that has occurred 3 or more times in a 60 day period.  (C)(1) BellSouth should use best efforts to provide global outage and trunk group outage root cause analysis reports within five (5) business days of request.  (C)(2) BellSouth should use best efforts to provide global outage and trunk group outage root cause analysis reports within five (5) business days of request.  (Revised 4/1/04)	CLEC Position
In the event that either Party was provided the accurate switched access detailed usage data in a manner that allowed that Party to generate and provide such data to the other Party in a reasonable timeframe and the other Party is unable to bill and/or collect access revenues due to the sending Party's failure to provide such data within said time period, then the sending Party shall be liable to the other Party in an amount equal to the unbillable or	(B) BellSouth should provide a written root cause analysis for global outages, but not for other outages.  (C)(1) No reports should be required for outages other than global outages.  (C)(2) The target interval for root cause analysis on global outages should be 10-30 days.	BELL SOUTH POSITION

64	63	NO.
3-5	3-4	##
10.7.4.2 (KMC), 10.5.5.2 (NSC), 10.5.6.2 (NVX)	10.10.6 (KMC), 10.8.6 (NSC), 10.8.6 (NVX), 10.13.5 (XSP)	
While a dispute over jurisdictional factors is pending, what factors should apply in the interim?  [Issue restated by agreement of the Parties. 3/8/04]	CLEC Issue Statement: Under what terms should CLEC be obligated to reimburse BellSouth for amounts BellSouth pays to third party carriers that terminate BellSouth transited/CLEC originated traffic?  BellSouth Issue Statement: Under what terms should CLEC be obligated to reimburse BellSouth for amounts BellSouth pays to third party carriers to terminate CLEC originated traffic?	UNRESOLVEJILSSUE
While such a dispute over jurisdiction factors is pending, factors reported by the originating Party should remain in place, unless the Parties mutually agree otherwise.	In the event that a terminating third party carrier imposes on BellSouth any charges or costs for the delivery of Transit Traffic originated by CLEC, CLEC should reimburse BellSouth for all charges paid by BellSouth, which BellSouth is contractually obligated to pay.  BellSouth should diligently review, dispute and pay such third party invoices (or equivalent) in a manner that is at parity with its own practices for reviewing, disputing and paying such invoices (or equivalent) when no similar reimbursement provision applies.	[Revised 4/1/04]
No, in the event that negotiations and audits fail to resolve disputes between the Parties regarding the appropriate factor, either Party may seek Dispute Resolution as set forth in the General Terms and Conditions. While such a dispute is pending, factors calculated by the terminating Party should be utilized,	In the event that a terminating third party carrier imposes on BellSouth any charges or costs for the delivery of Transit Traffic originated by CLEC, CLEC should reimburse BellSouth for all charges paid by BellSouth.	uncollectible revenues. Each company will provide complete documentation to the other to substantiate any claim of such unbillable or uncollectible revenues.

68	67	66	65	NO
3-9	3-8	3-7	3-6	ISSUE #
2.1.12 (XSP)	10.2, 10.3 (XSP)	10.1 (KMC),10 .1 (XSP)	10.10. 1 (KMC), 10.8.1 (NSC)	S
This issue has been resolved.	Should compensation for the transport and termination of ISP-bound Traffic be subject to a cap?	This issue has been resolved.	Should BellSouth be allowed to charge the CLEC a Tandem Intermediary Charge for the transport and termination of Local Transit Traffic and ISP-Bound Transit Traffic?  [Issue restated by agreement of the Parties, 3/8/04]	- Unresol ved Issue
	NO, compensation caps set in the FCC's remanded ISP Order on Remand do not extend beyond 2003.		NO, BellSouth should not be permitted to impose upon CLEC a Tandem Intermediary Charge ("TIC") for the transport and termination of Local Transit Traffic and ISP-Bound Transit Traffic. The TIC is a non-TELRIC based additive charge which exploits BellSouth's market power and is discriminatory.	CLEC POSITION
	Yes, pursuant to the FCC's ISP Order on Remand, the compensation regime including rate and growth caps shall remain in place until the FCC issues a subsequent order.		Yes, BellSouth is not obligated to provide the transit function and the CLEC has the right pursuant to the Act to request direct interconnection to other carriers. Additionally, BellSouth incurs costs beyond those for which the Authority ordered rates were designed to address, such as the costs of sending records to the CLECs identifying the originating carrier. BellSouth does not charge the CLEC for these records and does not recover those costs in any other form. Moreover, this issue is not appropriate for arbitration in this proceeding because it involves a request by the CLECs that is not encompassed within BellSouth's obligations pursuant to Section 251 of the Act.	BELLSOUTH POSITION  unless the Parties mutually agree otherwise.

		72	71	70		69	ZEM
		3-13	3-12	3-11		3-10	Issue §
	,	4.6 (XSP)	4.5 (XSP)	3.3.1, 3.3.2, 3.4.5, 10.10.2 (XSP)		, j	
the Parties.]	and facilities used for both parties' traffic be split proportionally based on the percentage of traffic originated by each Party or in half?	Should the costs of two- way interconnection trunks	This issue has been resolved.	This issue has been resolved.	interconnection at TELRIC-compliant rates?  (B) What should those rates be?	(A) Should BellSouth be required to provide CLEC with OCn level	UNRESOLVED ISSUE
percentages twice per year based on the previous six months minutes of use billed by each Party. Each Party should pay its proportionate share of initial facilities based on the joint forecasts for circuits required by each Party.	Party should pay its proportionate share of the recurring charges for trunks and associated facilities and nonrecurring charges for additional trunks and associated facilities based on the percentage of the total traffic originated by that Party. The	For two-way trunk groups that carry only both Parties' non-transit and non-			(B) TELRIC compliant rates for OCn interconnection trunks and facilities should be set by the Authority.	(A) YES, OCn level interconnection is technically feasible and must be made available at TELRIC-compliant rates.	CLECROSITION
instance, the Parties should split the cost of such two-ways in half.	Party may establish one-ways, thus inappropriately distorting the proportional use. This is a technically infeasible request. The Parties should only use two-ways where the traffic is balanced in such a way that a two-way facility is appropriate. In such an	No, this assumes that all minutes exchanged by the parties traverse two-			(B) OCn level interconnection is not technically feasible and should not be required for this reason. Therefore, no rate should be set.	(A) No. It is not technically feasible to interconnect at the OCn level.	BELLSOUTH FOSITION

74		73	ITEM
4-1		3-14	V. X.
3.9		10.10.4, 10.10.5, 10.10.6, 10.10.7 (XSP)	Ş
What definition of "Cross Connect" should be included in the Agreement?		CLEC Issue Statement: Should CLEC be permitted to bill BellSouth based on actual traffic measurements, in lieu of BellSouth-reported jurisdictional factors?  BellSouth Issue Statement: Under what conditions should CLEC be permitted to bill BellSouth based on actual traffic measurements, in lieu of BellSouth-reported jurisdictional factors?	UNRESOLVED ISSUE
The following definition of "Cross Connect" should be included in the Agreement: "A cross-connection (Cross Connect) is a cabling scheme between cabling runs subsystems, and equipment using patch cords or jumper wires that attach to connection hardware on each end, as defined and described by the FCC in its applicable rules and orders."	COLLOCATION (ATTACHMENT 4)	YES, where CLEC has message recording technology that identifies the jurisdiction of traffic terminated as defined in the Agreement, CLEC should have the option of using that information to bill BellSouth based upon actual measurements and jurisdictionalization, in lieu of factors reported by BellSouth.	CLEC POSITION
<ul> <li>(A) The following definition of "Cross Connect" should be included in the Agreement: "A cross connect is a jumper on a frame (Main Distribution or Intermediate Distribution) or panel (DSX or LGX) that is used to connect equipment and/or facility terminations together."</li> <li>(B) BellSouth does not agree with the additional language that CLEC proposes</li> </ul>		CLEC may have the option to bill BellSouth based on its own actual traffic measurements for services that the CLEC has valid authorization to bill BellSouth in the form of tariffs, interconnection agreements or other contractual authority. Prior to the CLEC implementing billing based on its own traffic measurements, however, the CLEC and BellSouth will mutually agree that the traffic measurement system employed by the CLEC, or at the direction of the CLEC, accurately measures traffic and assigns the correct jurisdiction in accordance with the Agreement and applicable underlying FCC rules. BellSouth shall have, at its option, the right to audit the CLEC measurement system periodically.	BELLSOUTH POSITION

	75		
			No.
	4-2		ISSUE #
	5.21.1, 5.21.2		ø,
FCC Rule 51 233 (which relates to the deployment of Advanced Services equipment) what provisions should be included in the Agreement?  BellSouth Issue Statement: What restrictions should apply to the CLEC's use of collocation space or collocated equipment/facilities that impact others?	CLEC Issue Statement: With respect to interference and impairment issues raised outside of the scope of the		UNRESOLVED ISSUE
endangers or damages the equipment or facilities of any other telecommunications carrier collocated in the Premises; or (3) knowingly and unlawfully compromises the privacy of communications routed through the Premises; and (4) creates an unreasonable risk of injury or death to any individual or to the public.  The Agreement also should provide that if BellSouth reasonably determines that any equipment or facilities of CLEC violates the provisions of Section 5.21, BellSouth should provide written notice to CLEC requesting that CLEC cure the violation within forty-eight (48) hours of actual receipt of written notice or, at a minimum, to commence curative measures within twenty-four (24) hours and to exercise reasonable diligence to complete such	Provisions should be included to cover the installation and operation of any equipment or services that (1) significantly degrades ("significantly degrades" is as in the FCC rule applicable to Advanced Services); (2)		CLEC Position
perspective), interferes with or impairs service provided by BellSouth or by any other entity or any person's use of its telecommunications services; (2) endangers or damages the equipment, facilities or any other property of BellSouth or of any other entity or person; (3) compromises the privacy of any communications routed through the Premises; or (4) creates an unreasonable risk of injury or death to any individual or to the public.  The Agreement should also provide that if BellSouth reasonably determines that any equipment or facilities of the CLEC violates the provisions of Section 5.21.1, BellSouth should provide written notice to the CLEC directing that the CLEC	Provisions should be included in this Agreement to cover the installation and operation of any equipment, facilities or services that (1) significantly degrades (defined as an action that noticeably	because the cross connect required for the provision of a particular service, not associated with a collocation arrangement, may not be included in the cost of the service, but may have to be ordered in addition to the service requested.	BELLSOUTH POSITION

	No. # UNRESOLVED ISSUE
The Agreement also should state that, with the exception of instances which pose an immediate and substantial threat of physical damage to property or injury or death to any person, disputes regarding the source of the risk, impairment, interference, or degradation should be resolved pursuant to the Dispute Resolution provisions set forth in the General Terms and Conditions.	E CLECPOSITION  measures as soon as possible thereafter.
written notice or, if such cure is not feasible, at a minimum, to commence curative measures within twenty-four (24) hours and to exercise reasonable diligence to complete such measures as soon as possible thereafter.  The Agreement should provide that either party may submit any disputes regarding the source of the risk, impairment, interference, or degradation to the Authority, except in the case of the deployment of an advanced service which significantly degrades the performance of other advanced services or traditional voice band services, if the CLEC fails to commence curative action within twenty-four (24) hours and exercise reasonable diligence to complete such action as soon as possible or if the violation is of a character that poses an immediate and substantial threat of damage to property or injury or death to any person, or any other significant degradation, interference or impairment of BellSouth's or another entity's service. In regard to the above exception, BellSouth should be permitted to take such action as it deems necessary to eliminate any immediate or	cure the violation within forty-eight (48)

		- Page 1
77	76	ITEM
4-4	4-3	ISSUE
4.	8.1	8
When should BellSouth commence billing of recurring charges for power?	CLEC Issue Statement: Where grandfathering is appropriate, which rates should apply?  BellSouth Issue Statement: How should grandfathered rates apply?	UNRESOLVEDISSUE
Billing for recurring charges for power provided by BellSouth should commence on the date upon which the primary and redundant connections from CLEC's equipment in the Collocation Space to the BellSouth power board or BDFB are installed.	When rates have been "grandfathered," the rates that should apply are those rates that were in effect prior to the Effective Date of the Agreement, unless application of such rates would be inconsistent with the underlying purpose for grandfathering.  [Revised 4/1/04]	CLEC POSITION
If the CLEC has met the applicable fifteen (15) calendar day walkthrough interval specified in Section 4.3 of the Agreement, billing for recurring power charges should commence upon the Space Acceptance Date. If the CLEC fails to complete an acceptance walkthrough within the applicable fifteen (15) calendar day interval, billing for recurring power charges should commence on the Space Ready Date. If the CLEC occupies the space prior to the Space Ready Date, then the date the CLEC occupies the space should be deemed the new Space Acceptance Date and billing for recurring power charges should begin on that date.	substantial threat, including, without limitation, the interruption of electrical power to the CLEC's equipment which BellSouth has determined beyond a reasonable doubt is the cause of such threat.  When rates have been "grandfathered," the rates that would apply are those rates that were in effect prior to the Effective Date of the Agreement or as otherwise specified within the Agreement. There should be no other exceptions allowed for the application of "grandfathered" rates.	BELLSOUTH POSITION

			79	78	NO.
			4-6	4-5	ISSUE #
			8.11, 8.11.1, 8.11.2 [Revised 4/1/04]	8.6	S
	,		What rates should apply for BellSouth-supplied DC power?	This issue has been resolved.	UNRESOLVEDISSUE
will be billed at the Authority's most recently approved fused amp recurring rate for DC power. However, if certain arrangements are grandfathered as a result of CLEC having paid installation costs under an ICB or non-recurring rate schedule for the collection arrangement power.	arrangement, and there will be rates applicable where such grandfathering does not apply and power plant infrastructure is instead recovered via recurring charges, as currently set by the Authority.	electing to convert collocations to (or install new collocations or augments under) the power usage metering option set forth in Section 9 of Attachment 4.  Under either billing method, there will be rates applicable to grandfathered collocations for which power plant infrastructure costs have been prepaid under an ICB pricing or non-recurring charge	Applicable rates should vary depending on whether CLEC elects to be billed on a "fused amp" basis, by electing to remain (or install new collocations or augments) under the traditional collocation power billing method or on a "used amp" basis, by		CBECFOSITION
there will be rates applicable to grandfathered collocations for which power plant infrastructure costs have been prepaid under an ICB pricing or non-recurring charge arrangement and there will be rates applicable where such grandfathering closs not apply and	collocations or augments under) the Tennessee power usage metering option set forth in the Agreement. Under either the "per fused amp" billing methodology, which applies for all states, or the "per used amp" billing	capacity. In Tennessee, the CLEC should be permitted to choose to be billed on a "per fused amp" basis, by electing to remain (or install new collocations or augments) under the traditional collocation power billing method that BellSouth uses for all of the other states (including Tennessee), or on a "per used amp" basis, by electing to convert collocations to (or install new	For all states except Tennessee, recurring charges for -48V DC power should be assessed on a "per fused amp" basis, based upon the CLEC's BellSouth Certified Supplier engineered and installed power feed fused ampere		BELLSOUTH FOSITION

	No.
	Issu£.
	8
	8
	UNRE
	SOLVI
	UNRESOLVEDISSUE
	an
recu prior Agre infra inco Agre apprior infra com Com (bas if ce a res cost: sche apprior com com pow bille base apprior com	
recurring rate for the DC power in effect prior to the Effective Date of this Agreement, or, if rates that excluded the infrastructure component had not been incorporated into the Parties' most recent Agreement, the most recent Authority approved rate that does not include an infrastructure component should apply.  Under the power usage metering option, recurring charges for DC power are subdivided into a power infrastructure component and an AC usage component (based on DC amps consumed). However if certain arrangements are grandfathered a result of CLEC having paid installation costs under an ICB or non-recurring rate schedule for the collocation arrangement power installation, CLEC should only be billed a recurring rate for the AC usage based on the most recent Authority approved rate exclusive of an infrastructucomponent (as set by the Authority).	
Effect Ef	2
the D the D the D the D the D trates npone the P nost re it does npone usage s for D havin havin havin havin colloc: c	CLEC POSITION
meter are gradient or the manual on the control of	SITIO
wer in effect this culded the not been most recen Authority aclude an ould apply. The astructure component all installation curring rate arrangement ould only be AC usage thority.	Ž
recurring rate for the DC power in effect prior to the Effective Date of this Agreement, or, if rates that excluded the infrastructure component had not been incorporated into the Parties' most recent Agreement, the most recent Authority approved rate that does not include an infrastructure component should apply.  Under the power usage metering option, recurring charges for DC power are subdivided into a power infrastructure component and an AC usage component (based on DC amps consumed). However, if certain arrangements are grandfathered as a result of CLEC having paid installation costs under an ICB or non-recurring rate schedule for the collocation arrangement power installation, CLEC should only be billed a recurring rate for the AC usage based on the most recent Authority approved rate exclusive of an infrastructure component (as set by the Authority).	
	(% (%) (%)
Jinder:  Jinder:  Vhich:  Vhic	
the fus is application in the fus should ity's nump receiver, if to to "gr ments athered provide uth de uth de uth de uthion a tition, to power to and far and	BEL
is recurrence and it recurrence and it recurrence and it be bit in the Para and fat and fat and fat and fat it in to the recurrence and it in to the para and and and and and and and and and an	LSou
Under the fused amp billing option, which is applicable to all states, the CLEC should be billed at the Authority's most recently approved fused amp recurring rate for DC power However, if the Parties either previou agreed to "grandfather" such arrangements or such arrangements are grandfathered as a result of the CLEC having provided documentation to BellSouth demonstrating that the CLEC having provided more rate structure for the collocation arrangement power installation, then the CLEC should or be billed the monthly recurring rate for the Effective Date of the Agreement, or, such grandfathered rates had not beer incorporated in to the Parties' most recent Agreement, the rates contained Exhibit B of the Attachment, which reflect only that portion of the month recurring charges associated with the AC usage and ongoing maintenance, replacement and upgrades to the cent office power infrastructure, which wi directly benefit the CLEC in the future.	пн Ро
harges states states the classification of the angem of the der an are for tree for tree for the der an are for tree for the der an tree for tree for the der an tree for tree for the der an tree for tr	SITION
Under the fused amp billing option, which is applicable to all states, the CLEC should be billed at the Authority's most recently approved fused amp recurring rate for DC power. However, if the Parties either previously agreed to "grandfather" such arrangements or such arrangements are grandfathered as a result of the CLEC having provided documentation to BellSouth demonstrating that the CLEC paid installation costs under an ICB or non-recurring rate structure for the collocation arrangement power installation, then the CLEC should only be billed the monthly recurring rate for the DC power in effect prior to the Effective Date of the Agreement, or, if such grandfathered rates had not been incorporated in to the Parties' most recent Agreement, the rates contained in Exhibit B of the Attachment, which reflect only that portion of the monthly recurring charges associated with the AC usage and ongoing maintenance, replacement and upgrades to the central office power infrastructure, which will directly benefit the CLEC in the future.	BELLSOUTH POSITION
e. e.	

<del>,</del>			· · · · · · · ·
80			Tiem.
4-7		,	ISSUE.
9.1.1		1	
		1	SS
CLE Unde billın		i i	U
CLEC Issue Statement: Under the fused amp billing option, how will		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	UnresolvedIssue
Staten ised an n, how		1	LVEDI
nent:		<b>t</b>	SSUE
- Un		j j	
der the nthly r			
Under the fused amp billing of monthly recurring charges for		1	10
amp bil g charg		1	CLEC POSITION
		1	NOLLIS
ption, -48V DC			
) C			
(A) the billing state	power AC user amps Parti "grainsta a resudem colle insta be bi the Auth power exclusions associated	In To	
(A) Under the regional fused amp billing option, which applies to all states, monthly recurring charges for	power will be subdivided into a power infrastructure component and an AC usage component (based on DC amps consumed). However, if the Parties either previously agreed to "grandfather" such arrangements or such arrangements are grandfathered as a result of the CLEC having provided documentation to BellSouth demonstrating that the CLEC paid installation costs under an ICB or non-recurring rate structure for the collocation arrangement power installation, then the CLEC should only be billed the monthly recurring rate for the AC usage based on the most recent Authority approved rate and the DC power infrastructure component that excludes those costs previously paid through the ICB or NRC pricing structure. Thus, the CLEC should be required to pay that portion of the DC power infrastructure component associated with ongoing maintenance, replacement and upgrades to the centra office, which will directly benefit the CLEC in the future.	In Tennessee, under the power usage metering option, recurring charges for	BE
he region, which the region which the region of the region	structu ompon med).  er previer such ements he CLF ion to larrange that costs u arrange then the montiple base prove structu ose costs iCB of hus, the pay that structu with on the montiple in the montiple base icose costs in the montiple base in the montiple base icose costs in the montiple base icose icose icose costs in the montiple base in the montiple base icose	e, undo otion, re	BELLSOUTH POSITION
onal fuch app	re coment particular for coment (ba Howev iously a arrang a are grade) the CI for coment particular for coment	er the p	тн Ро
lsed am lies to a charge	ponent sed on yer, if the agreed and fath and the ponent iously pricing to show on of the ponent and the ponent and the ponent iously pricing the fath and f	ower u g charg	SITION
all s for	power will be subdivided into a power infrastructure component and an AC usage component (based on DC amps consumed). However, if the Parties either previously agreed to "grandfather" such arrangements or such arrangements are grandfathered as a result of the CLEC having provided documentation to BellSouth demonstrating that the CLEC paid installation costs under an ICB or non-recurring rate structure for the collocation arrangement power installation, then the CLEC should only be billed the monthly recurring rate for the AC usage based on the most recent Authority approved rate and the DC power infrastructure component that excludes those costs previously paid through the ICB or NRC pricing structure. Thus, the CLEC should be required to pay that portion of the DC power infrastructure component associated with ongoing maintenance, replacement and upgrades to the central office, which will directly benefit the CLEC in the future.	es for	
		t !	

	1	
	,	ITEM No.
	1	ISSUE #
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	: · · · · · ·
	i i	
	:	S
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	1	_
	1	Unresolved Iss
	;	SOL
	,	VED
		ISSUE
		<b>.</b>
	should	
	be as	
	s pre	೧
	scril	LEC
	prescribed by th	LEC POSITION
	y th	OLLI
	e Authority.	· Ž
,	thori	
	<del> </del> <del> </del> <del> </del> <del> </del> <del> </del> <del> </del>	
	,	
mont mont owe AC u consumfraction with association with Bells curre owe and a hese cost selection of the second owe and a hese cost selection owe compower and a hese cost selection owe compower and a hese cost selection of the second owe compower and a hese cost selection of the second owe compower and a hese cost selection of the second owe compower and a hese cost selection of the second owe compower and a hese cost selection of the second owe compower and a hese cost selection of the second owe compower and a hese cost selection of the second owe compower and a hese cost selection of the second owe compower and a hese cost selection of the second owe compower and a second or the second of t	permitted to contine recurring DC powfused amp" basis.	
n Tellower ower ower hly restricted in Export the International restriction of the International restriction of the International restriction of the International Reservoir ower owner ow	itted ring l am <sub>l</sub>	
rusa, recurrection of the or secure of the company	to c DC b" ba	3ELI
see, in ge m ring be as appoint the C compower it B of take take and it be at take a	ontir powersis.	Sou
(B) In Tennessee, if the CLEC select the power usage metering option, the monthly recurring charges for -48V l power should be assessed based on the AC usage component of the DC power consumed by the CLEC and an infrastructure component, associated with the DC power plant and the associated equipment required to convert AC power to DC power, as so forth in Exhibit B of Attachment 4. BellSouth has taken the Authority's current approved monthly recurring l power rate (which is a fused amp rate and apportioned it appropriately into these two components based upon the cost study inputs used initially to develop the ordered rate.  Recurring charges for the AC usage component associated with the DC power plant and the associated equipment required to convert AC power plant and the sessessed pursuant to Section 8.4 of Attachmer (See BST's Position as stated under	nue a er cha	BELLSOUTH POSITION
ges f ed by f the f the f the can than than than than the can the c	ssess arges	IISO,
be controlled the con	sing 1	ION
(B) In Tennessee, if the CLEC selects the power usage metering option, the monthly recurring charges for -48V DC power should be assessed based on the AC usage component of the DC power consumed by the CLEC and an infrastructure component, associated with the DC power plant and the associated equipment required to convert AC power to DC power, as set forth in Exhibit B of Attachment 4. BellSouth has taken the Authority's current approved monthly recurring DC power rate (which is a fused amp rate) and apportioned it appropriately into these two components based upon the cost study inputs used initially to develop the ordered rate.  Recurring charges for the AC usage component associated with the DC power plant and the associated equipment required to convert AC power to DC power, and the Meter Reading expense will be assessed pursuant to Section 8.4 of Attachment 4. (See BST's Position as stated under	permitted to continue assessing monthly recurring DC power charges on a "perfused amp" basis.	
et er och	hly	

	NO.
	### ## NOOB
	9
	CZ
·	KESOLY
, ; ;	Selfa
	<b>G</b>
The with App collid mete remo equi bille prov Subs requ the r read take acce fails Bell suffice agre CLE each Chan CLE that system with the r system as a system conce agree acce acce acce acce acce acce acce a	Isem
non-rec the sublication ocation ocation ocation or ring op yve or it d on the ides an ext sch ing date n or if the ss to its to prov South C cient no cle and/ ed-upon C will ocation, which is sequent	Issue 4-4 ahove)
urring omission, to con- arrange tion in arrange tion in the Con- stall te not the Con- caped (Application Application and Con- caped (Con- caped (Con	ove)
The non-recurring charge associat with the submission of a Subseque Application, to convert existing collocation arrangements to the pometering option in Tennessee or tremove or install telecommunicate equipment in the CLEC's space, visible on the date that BellSouth provides an Application Response Subsequent Application. If the Clequests that an unscheduled (price the next scheduled quarterly power eading date) power usage reading taken or if the CLEC fails to provide BellSouth and/or access to its caged collocation spafails to provide BellSouth and/or agreed-upon appointment, then the CLEC will be responsible for pay each "Additional Meter Reading Charge," which will be reflected and/or reschedule the initial agreed-upon appointment, then the CLEC's next month's billing state In addition, there will be a non-refere associated with the modification of the neces fee associated with the modification that BellSouth must make to its bisystems in order to accept the powers usage measurement data. This fee	4.4 ahove)
The non-recurring charge associated with the submission of a Subsequent Application, to convert existing collocation arrangements to the power metering option in Tennessee or to remove or install telecommunications equipment in the CLEC's space, will be billed on the date that BellSouth provides an Application Response to the Subsequent Application. If the CLEC requests that an unscheduled (prior to the next scheduled quarterly power reading date) power usage reading be taken or if the CLEC fails to provide access to its caged collocation space or fails to provide BellSouth and/or a BellSouth Certified Supplier with sufficient notification of the necessity to cancel and/or reschedule the initial agreed-upon appointment, then the CLEC will be responsible for paying each "Additional Meter Reading Trip Charge," which will be reflected on the CLEC's next month's billing statement. In addition, there will be a non-recurring fee associated with the modifications that BellSouth must make to its billing systems in order to accept the power usage measurement data. This fee will	
ed ed ent ent wer wer ons ons fill be to the LEC or to the de de ce or sity to sity to ling on the ment. wring ons ling ling ling will	

		resolved.	13.0	+10	0.5
		This issue has been	13.6	4-10	23
central office.					
BellSouth's fuse panel, which serve the					
of the circuit breakers contained in					
total amount of fused amperage for all		,			
is designed to carry in relation to the					
fused amperage that each circuit breaker					
BellSouth's fuse panel based on the					
each of the circuit breakers in					
BellSouth to pro-rate the AC power to					
would, therefore, be appropriate for		***			
power enters the central office. It					
panel where the commercial electric					
circuit breaker to the CLEC at its fuse					
would have to install and dedicate a					
feed its own Power Plant, BellSouth					
requests AC power from BellSouth to					
BellSouth anticipates that if a CLEC					·
by the commercial electric provider					
delivered to the central office fuse panel					
the appropriate allocation of AC power	options.	,			
assessed per breaker ampere based on	amp billing and power usage metering	metering option?			
Charges for AC power should be	have the option of choosing between fused	and a power usage			
to feed the CLEC's DC Power Plant.	CLEC's DC Power Plant, CLEC should	a fused amp billing option			
provide Alternating Current (AC) power	Alternating Current (AC) power to feed	entitled to choose between			
DC Power Plant, BellSouth is willing to	DC Power Plant, and BellSouth provides	power, should CLEC be			
No. If the CLEC elects to install its own	YES, where CLEC elects to install its own	For BellSouth-supplied AC	9.3	4-9	82
modifications.		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		;	
completion of the required					
statement immediately following the					
DPLLXOO I I FOSHION	CEBG FOSITION	UNRESOLVEDISSUE	ø.	# #	NO.
Deria Collette Doctor	CIEDED				* The same of the

86	85	84	No.
6-3	6-2	6-1	ISSUE.
2.5.6.2, 2.5.6.3	2.5.5	2.5.1	8
(A) What procedures should apply when one Party alleges, via written notice, that the other Party has engaged in unauthorized access to CSR information?  (B) How should disputes over alleged unauthorized access to CSR information	Should CLEC have to provide BellSouth with access to CSRs within firm intervals?	Should payment history be included in the CSR?	UNRESOLVEDISSUE
(A) Either Party, in the event it suspects that the other Party has accessed CSR information without having obtained the proper End User authorization, should send written notice to the other Party specifying the alleged noncompliance. The Party receiving the notice should be obligated to acknowledge receipt of the notice as soon as practicable, and provide appropriate proof of authorization within seven (7) days or provide notice that appropriate corrective	NO, CLEC is not required by law to commit to specific intervals, and does not have any automated system in place to handle CSR requests. Moreover, BellSouth refuses to commit to deliver CSRs within a firm interval. CLEC, however, will commit to use its best efforts to provide CSRs within an average of 5 business days of a valid request, subject to the same exclusions applicable to BST's delivery of CSRs.	YES, the subscribers? payment history	SSUE CLEC'POSITION ORDERING (ATTACHMENT 6)
(A) The Party receiving such notice should provide documentation within seven (7) business days to prove authorization.  (B) The Party providing notice of such impropriety should provide notice to the offending Party that additional applications for service may be refused, that any pending orders for service may not be completed, and/or that access to ordering systems may be suspended if	YES, BellSouth is required to provide CSRs to CLEC in intervals prescribed by this Authority which, if not met, require BellSouth to remit SEEMs penalties. If CLEC is not held to the same standard, the End User customer is impaired by being unable to receive the same service interval from all local service providers.	l	BELLSOUTH POSITION

×		No.	Inem
0-4		**	(243)
2.6			sos.
should I allowed service of CLEC of BellSoul an electroption?		Agreement?	CN
should Bellsouth be allowed to assess manual service order charges on CLEC orders for which BellSouth does not provide an electronic ordering option?		ent?	UNRESOLVEDISSUE
uth be ess man charges charges for whit for pr s not pr ordering			ED ISSI
nual on ch ovide	;		JE .
not avelelectron the macune UNEs where use the	(B) If asserting should the base the receparty with proceed provision and Cocooper the dissuspersion and disinappression.	as sooi	
not available to make placement of an not available to make placement of an electronic LSR possible, CLEC must use the manual LSR process for the ordering o UNEs and Combinations. In such cases where CLEC does not willfully choose to use the manual LSR process, CLEC should be assessed the lower electronic LSR OSS	(B) If one Party disputes the other Party's assertion of non-compliance, that Party should notify the other Party in writing of the basis for its assertion of compliance. If the receiving Party fails to provide the other Party with notice that appropriate corrective measures have been taken within a reasonable time or provide the other Party with proof sufficient to persuade the other Party that it erred in asserting the noncompliance, the requesting Party should proceed pursuant to the Dispute Resolution provisions set forth in the General Terms and Conditions and the Parties should cooperatively seek expedited resolution of the dispute. "Self help", in the form of suspension of access to ordering systems and discontinuance of service, is inappropriate and coercive. Moreover, it effectively denies one Party the ability to avail itself to the Dispute Resolution process otherwise agreed to by the Parties.	as soon as practicable	
to make to make R possi R processi R process	rty dispon-com the others asser Party falice that it e been to be been to be fifteent fred in a forth it is and to seek expended and coomies on the Disawise against the best of the best of the seek expenses access and coomies on the Disawise against the best of the best	cticable	CLEC POSITIO
placer ble, CL ble, CL cess for ions. In it willful process	utes the pliance er Party iion of a alken wo ovide the to persu asserting the Participed ite order to orde from the Cappair in		Positi
nent of an nent of an EC must use the ordering of such cases ally choose to all choose all choose to all choose al	that Party's, that Party's, in writing of compliance. To vide the other party within a he other Party should oute Resolution of resolution of he form of he form of ring systems es, is  Moreover, it the ability to solution obsolution of solution of solution of solution of he form of ring systems es, is		NO
not available to make placement of an not available to make placement of an electronic LSR possible, CLEC must use the manual LSR process for the ordering of UNEs and Combinations. In such cases where CLEC does not willfully choose to use the manual LSR process, CLEC should be assessed the lower electronic LSR OSS	(B) If one Party disputes the other Party's assertion of non-compliance, that Party should notify the other Party in writing of the basis for its assertion of compliance. If the receiving Party fails to provide the other Party with notice that appropriate corrective measures have been taken within a reasonable time or provide the other Party with proof sufficient to persuade the other Party that it erred in asserting the non-compliance, the requesting Party should proceed pursuant to the Dispute Resolution provisions set forth in the General Terms and Conditions and the Parties should cooperatively seek expedited resolution of the dispute. "Self help", in the form of suspension of access to ordering systems and discontinuance of service, is inappropriate and coercive. Moreover, it effectively denies one Party the ability to avail itself to the Dispute Resolution process otherwise agreed to by the Parties.		
<del></del>		the dat	
provide electory provide electory provide electory provide electory provided in the process for Process for BellSouth's not satisfied capabilities	ging P. vide waignated ices of ices of gignated ices of being provision who wision has it tenth (date of ty disappute respute rearring pute respute re	fifth (5 e of the	В
south is ectronic product mented in CLEO's OSS and with is.	arty maritten norther noncor arty marof acces of acces not co 10th) cas of una grees was of una grees was of una grees was of una grees was all Term	notice	ELLSO
s not recondering or serve the Charles reques capabilities.	other I other I of exist or and mand and lendar tial not with the authorized proceed is and C an	ndar da . In ado	UTH PC
provide electronic ordering capability for every product or service. BellSou has implemented the Change Control Process for CLEC requests to change BellSouth's OSS capabilities if CLEC not satisfied with existing ordering capabilities.	alleging Party may, at the same time, provide written notice to the person(s) designated by the other Party to receive notices of noncompliance that the alleging Party may terminate the provision of access to ordering systems to the other Party and may discontinue the provisioning of existing services if such use is not corrected or ceased by the tenth (10 <sup>th</sup> ) calendar day following the date of the initial notice. If the other Party disagrees with the alleging Party allegations of unauthorized use, the other Party shall proceed pursuant to the dispute resolution provisions set forth the General Terms and Conditions.	the fifth (5 <sup>th</sup> ) calendar day following date of the notice. In addition, the	BELLSOUTH POSITION
provide electronic ordering capability provide electronic ordering capability for every product or service. BellSouth has implemented the Change Control Process for CLEC requests to change BellSouth's OSS capabilities if CLEC is not satisfied with existing ordering capabilities.	alleging Party may, at the same time, provide written notice to the person(s) designated by the other Party to receive notices of noncompliance that the alleging Party may terminate the provision of access to ordering systems to the other Party and may discontinue the provisioning of existing services if such use is not corrected or ceased by the tenth (10 <sup>th</sup> ) calendar day following the date of the initial notice. If the other Party disagrees with the alleging Party's allegations of unauthorized use, the other Party shall proceed pursuant to the dispute resolution provisions set forth in the General Terms and Conditions.	the fifth (5 <sup>th</sup> ) calendar day following the date of the notice. In addition, the	
		9	

2				
93	92 `	91		ITEM No.
6-10	6-9	6-8	•	ISSUE #
3.1.1	2.9.1	2.7.10.4	, :	8
		).4		
(A) C	Should substa function parties	Should Bell required to performan maintenan circuits will problems?	t 1	UN
Can Bellsouth make	Should charges for substantially similar OSS functions performed by the parties be reciprocal?	Should BellSouth be required to provide performance and maintenance history for circuits with chronic problems?		Unresolved Issue
south n	es for similar formed uproca	outh be rovide and history chronic	:	VED IS
nake	OSS by the	for		SUE
(A)	rates rates Atta subs perf	shou and netw	Rejec CLE exclu deliv comr Resp portii busir CLE	
NO, B	s, the Ps pursus for Os for Os chmen stantiall ormed	s, upon ild disc mainte work ele ie chroi	South 1 South 2 Sct Responses ing a numers danger of the second of the s	
NO, BellSouth is required	YES, the Parties should bill each other OSS rates pursuant to the terms, conditions and rates for OSS as set forth in Exhibit A of Attachment 2 of the Agreement, for substantially similar OSS functions performed by the Parties.	YES, upon request from CLEC, BellSouth should disclose all available performance and maintenance history regarding the network element, service or facility subject to the chronic trouble ticket.	BellSouth refuses to commit to deliver Reject Responses within a firm interval. – CLEC, however, subject to the same exclusions that apply to BellSouth's delivery of Reject Responses, is willing to commit to use best efforts to return Reject Responses to BellSouth, for purposes of porting a number, within an average of 5 business days, for noncomplex orders, after CLEC's receipt from BellSouth of a valid LSR.	CLI
h is rec	hould be term ne term et forth et Agre ar OSS Parties.	availa availa istory service ble tich	within within within within to Fermi Response teffort. South, within moncor moncor Bel	CLEC POSITION
	s, cond in Exh in Exh function	CLEC, ble per regardi or faci cet.	mit to a firm a firm to the sellSounses, is set or retrompted for purant an averanplex of lSouth	ITION
by law to	ibit A of for ons	BellSc forman forman mg the lity sub	n interval same suth's is willing to sturn Reject urposes of erage of 5 corders, afte h of a valid	
O	OSS and of	outh ice oject	l · · g to ject of · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
(A)	YES, I CLEC similar and or OSS fi condit CLEC turnar BellSc as Bell the sar which	NO, n mainte propri	remit SEEMs penalties. If CLEC is not held-to the same standard, the End User customer is impaired by being unable to receive the same service interval from all Local service providers.	
YES.	perfor r to the hely if the unction on long ur for OS ound ti outh's, lSouth' ne term BellSc	etwork enance etary ir	SEEM	BEL
YES. If another carrier restricts	YES, but only for those functions that CLEC performs that are substantially similar to those performed by BellSouth and only if the CLEC performs the same OSS functions pursuant to the terms and conditions under which BellSouth bills CLEC for OSS, including FOC reject turnaround times the same as BellSouth's, due date intervals the same as BellSouth's and CSRs handled under the same terms and conditions under which BellSouth provides the CSRs to CLEC.	NO, network performance and maintenance history is BellSouth's proprietary information.	remit SEEMs penalties. If CLEC is not held-to the same standard, the End User customer is impaired by being unable to receive the same service interval from all Local service providers.	BELLSOUTH POSITION
her car	t are su formed C perfuant to uant to nich Be luding e same te inter CSRs h conditi	mance is Bel tion.	ties. If ndard, d by be rvice ir oviders	н Роз
rier res	nctions nctions by Bel by Bel orms the ter the ter fllSouth FOC reas	and  South	the Enging un terval	NOLL
stricts	YES, but only for those functions that CLEC performs that are substantially similar to those performed by BellSouth and only if the CLEC performs the same OSS functions pursuant to the terms and conditions under which BellSouth bills CLEC for OSS, including FOC reject turnaround times the same as BellSouth's, due date intervals the same as BellSouth's and CSRs handled under the same terms and conditions under which BellSouth provides the CSRs to CLEC.	ഗ്	remit SEEMs penalties. If CLEC is not held-to the same standard, the End User customer is impaired by being unable to receive the same service interval from all Local service providers.	
		I	1	#1.0%7@68

NO. 5 # S	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	GEEC Position	BELL SOUTH POSITION
	the porting of an End User to the CLEC contingent on	to be switched to another local service	end user can retain a PIC, CLEC should
	either the CLEC having an	provider, regardless of any arrangement or agreement (or lack thereof) between CLEC	be required to either comply with that carriers requirements or transfer the
	collection arrangement	and BellSouth Long Distance or another	end-user with another PIC.
	with any third party		
	BellSouth Long Distance	its ILEC status in favor of, and in collusion	(B) NO, liquidated damages
	or the End User changing	with, its Section 272 affiliate. More	visi
	ıts PIC?	specifically, BellSouth may not condition its	
		compliance with these obligations under the	
	(B) If not, should	Agreement upon CLEC's or its End-Users'	
	BellSouth be subject to	entry into any billing and/or collection	
	liquidated damages for	arrangement, operational understanding,	
	imposing such conditions?	relationship or other arrangement with one or more of BellSouth's Affiliates, and/or any	
		third party carrier.	
		(B) YES, liquidated damages are appropriate in this instance because it	
		would be impossible or commercially	
		impracticable to ascertain and fix the actual amount of damages as would be sustained	
		by CLEC as a result of such action by	
		BellSouth. A liquidated damage amount of	
		reasonable approximation of the damages	
		likely to be sustained by CLEC, upon the	
		occurrence and during the continuance of	
		any such breach. Liquidated damages	
	-	should be in addition to and without	
		prejudice to or militation upon any outer	

		94	No.
		6-11	#
		3.1.2, 3.1.2.1	
	acquisitions and asset transfers be accomplished by the submission of an electronic LSR or spreadsheet?  (B) If so, what rates should apply?  (C) What should be the interval for such mass migrations of services?	(A) Should the mass migration of customer service arrangements resulting from mergers.	
(C) Migrations should be completed within ten (10) calendar days of an LSR or spreadsheet submission.	electronic LSR or, if mutually agreed to by the Parties, by submission of a spreadsheet in a mutually agreed-upon format. Until such time as an electronic LSR process is available, a spreadsheet containing all relevant information should be used.  (B) An electronic OSS charge should be assessed per service arrangement migrated. In addition, BellSouth should only charge charge, as set forth in Exhibit A of Attachment 2, for migrations of customers for which no physical re-termination of circuits must be performed. Similarly, BellSouth should only charge CLEC a TELRIC-based charge, as set forth in Exhibit A of Attachment 2, for migrations of customers for which physical retermination of circuits is required.	(A) YES, mass migration of customer service arrangements (e.g., UNEs, Combinations, resale) should be accomplished pursuant to submission of	rights or remedies CLEC and/or any of its End Users may have under this Agreement and/or other applicable documents against BellSouth.
(C) No finite interval can be set to cover all potential situations. While shorter intervals can be committed to and met	within BellSouth's obligations pursuant to Section 251 of the Act.  (A) No, each and every Merger, Acquisition and Asset Transfer is unique and requires project management and planning to ascertain the appropriate manner in which to accomplish the transfer, including how orders should be submitted. The vast array of services that may be the subject of such a transfer, under the agreement and both state and federal tariffs, necessitates that various forms of documentation may be required.  (B) The rates by necessity must be negotiated between the Parties based upon the particular services to be transferred and the work involved.	This issue (including all subparts) is not appropriate for arbitration in this proceeding because it involves a request by the CLECs that is not encompassed	

NO.	ISSUE:	8	UNRESOLVED ISSUE names or other LEC identifiers such as OCN.	CLEC POSITION  updating its databases, systems and records solely to reflect such change. For any	BELLSOUTH POSITION to Section 251 of the Act.
			CC, CIC and ACNA?	additional LEC Changes, TELRIC compliant rates should be charged.	(A) BellSouth is permitted to recover its costs and CLEC should be charged a
			(B) What intervals should apply to such changes?	(B) "LEC Changes" should be	reasonable records change charge.  Requests for this type of change should he submitted to the RER/NBR process.
				and should result in no delay or suspension of ordering or provisioning of any element or service provided pursuant to this	(B) The Interval of any such project would be determined by the BFR/NBR
				Agreement, or access to any pre-order, order, provisioning, maintenance or repair interfaces. At the request of a Party, the	process based upon the complexity of the project.
07	1 2	1 1	Whan should nament of	Payment of charges for services rendered	Dayment for service
97	7-3	1.4	When should payment of charges for service be due?	Payment of charges for services rendered should be due thirty (30) calendar days from receipt or website posting of a complete and fully readable bill or within thirty (30) calendar days from receipt or website posting of a corrected or retransmitted bill in those cases where correction or retransmission is necessary for processing.	Payment for services should be due on or before the next bill date (Payment Due Date) in immediately available funds.
98	7-4	1.6	(A) What interest rate should apply for late payments?	(A) The interest rate that should apply for late payments is a uniform region-wide (1) percent per month.	(A) The applicable interest rate approved by each state Commission in BellSouth's tariffs should apply.
			(B) What fee should be assessed for returned checks?	<ul><li>(B) In addition to any applicable late payment charges, a uniform region-wide</li><li>\$20 fee for all returned checks should apply.</li></ul>	(B) The Authority approved rate from the GSST should apply or, in the absence of such, the amount permitted

100 7-6 1	99 7-5 1
1.7.2	1.7.1
Should CLEC be required to calculate and pay past due amounts in addition to those specified in BellSouth's notice of suspension or termination for nonpayment in order to avoid suspension or termination?  BellSouth Issue Statement: To avoid suspension or termination, should CLEC be required to pay additional amounts	What recourse should a Party have if it believes the other Party is engaging in prohibited, unlawful or improper use of its facilities or services, abuse of the facilities or noncompliance with the Agreement or applicable tariffs?
NO. If CLEC receives a notice of suspension or termination from BellSouth with a limited time to pay nondisputed past due amounts, CLEC should, in order to avoid suspension or termination, be required to pay only the amount past due as of the date of the notice and as expressly and plainly indicated on the notice. Otherwise, CLEC will risk suspension or termination due to possible calculation and timing errors.	[ 188 C - 27]
Yes, if CLEC receives a notice of suspension or termination from BellSouth as a result of CLEC's failure to pay timely, CLEC should be required to pay all amounts that are past due as of the date of the pending suspension or termination action.	by state law. Each Party should have the right to suspend or terminate service in the event it believes the other party is engaging in one of these practices.

103	102	101	ITEM ISSUE
7-9	7-8	7-7	ISSUE #
1.8.6	1.8.3.1	1.8.3	8
Should BellSouth be entitled to terminate service to CLEC pursuant	Should the amount of the deposit BellSouth requires from CLEC be reduced by past due amounts owed by BellSouth to CLEC?	that become past due after the Notice of Suspension or Termination for Nonpayment is sent? How many months of billing should be used to determine the maximum amount of the deposit?	UNRESOLVED ISSUE
NO, BellSouth should have a right to terminate services to CLEC for failure to remit a deposit requested by BellSouth only	YES, the amount of security due from an existing CLEC should be reduced by amounts due CLEC by BellSouth aged over thirty (30) calendar days. BellSouth may request additional security in an amount equal to such reduction once BellSouth demonstrates a good payment history, as defined in the deposit provisions of Attachment 7. This provision is appropriate given that the Agreement's deposit provisions are not reciprocal and that BellSouth's payment history with CLECs is often poor.	The amount of a deposit should not exceed two month's estimated billing for new CLECs or one and one-half month's actual billing for existing CLECs (based on average monthly billings for the most recent six (6) month period). The one and one-half month's actual billing deposit limit for existing CLECs is reasonable given that balances can be predicted with reasonable accuracy and that significant portions of services are billed in advance.	CLEC POSITION
Yes, thirty (30) calendar days is a commercially reasonable time period within which CLEC should have met its	NO, CLEC's remedy for addressing late payment by BellSouth should be suspension/termination of service or application of interest/late payment charges similar to BellSouth's remedy for addressing late payment by CLEC.	The average of two (2) months of actual billing for existing customers or estimated billing for new customers, which is consistent with the telecommunications industry's standard and BellSouth's practice with its end users.	BELLSOUTH POSITION

deposit is required by the Agreement, or (b) the Authority has ordered payment of such deposit. A dispute over a requested deposit should be addressed via the Agreement's Dispute Resolution provisions and not through "self-help".  If the Parties are unable to agree on the need for a mount of a reasonable deposit, either Party should be able to file a petition for resolution of the dispute and both parties should cooperatively seek expedited resolution of such dispute. BellSouth, CLEC may file a petition with the Authority for resolution of the dispute and BellSouth, CLEC may file a petition of such dispute and BellSouth would cooperatively seek expedited resolution of such dispute. BellSouth would cooperatively seek expedited resolution of such dispute. BellSouth shall not terminate service during the pendency of such a proceeding provided that CLEC posts a payment bond for the amount of the requested deposit during the pendency of the proceeding.  Subject to a standard of commercial reasonableness, if a material change in the circumstances of CLEC so warrants and/or gross monthly billing has increased more than 25% beyond the level most recently used to determine the level of deposit.  BellSouth should not be entitled to make such additional requests based solely on
---

stating that stating that stating that stating that applications for service, pending applications for service, and access to BellSouth's ordering systems should be sent pursuant to the requirements of Attachment 7 and also should be sent via certified mail to the individual(s) listed in the Notices provision of the General Terms and Conditions.  Conditions.  Stating that access to should go has identify the Notices, notic	BFR/NBR (ATTACHMENT 11)	
BFR/NBR (ATTACHMENT 11)		permitted to charge CLEC the full development costs associated with a BFR?  (B) If so, how should these costs be recovered?
(A) Should BellSouth be (A) NO, charges associated with the	11-1   1.5, 1.8.1,   (A) Should BellSouth be	the full development costs associated with a BFR?  (B) If so, how should these costs be recovered?
11-1   1.5, 1.8.1,   (A) Should BellSouth be   (A) NO, charges associated with the   1.9,   permitted to charge CLEC   development of a BFR should be	11-1 1.5, 1.8.1, (A) Should BellSouth be (A) NO, charges associated with the 1.9, permitted to charge CLEC development of a BFR should be	FR?
11-1   1.5, 1.8.1,   (A) Should BellSouth be   (A) NO, charges associated with the   1.9,   permitted to charge CLEC   development of a BFR should be   1.10   the full development costs   apportioned among CLECs who may	11-1 1.5, 1.8.1, (A) Should BellSouth be 1.9, permitted to charge CLEC development of a BFR should be 1.10 the full development costs apportioned among CLECs who may	d these
11-1 1.5, 1.8.1, (A) Should BellSouth be 1.9, permitted to charge CLEC the full development costs associated with a BFR?   BFR/NBR (ATTACHMENT 11)	11-1 1.5, 1.8.1, (A) Should BellSouth be 1.9, permitted to charge CLEC 1.10 the full development costs apportioned among CLECs who may benefit from the UNE(s).	d these
11-1 1.5, 1.8.1, (A) Should BellSouth be 1.9, permitted to charge CLEC the full development costs associated with a BFR?    11-1   1.5, 1.8.1, (A) Should BellSouth be permitted to charge CLEC development of a BFR should be apportioned among CLECs who may benefit from the UNE(s).	11-1 1.5, 1.8.1, (A) Should BellSouth be permitted to charge CLEC the full development costs associated with a BFR? (A) NO, charges associated with the development of a BFR should be apportioned among CLECs who may benefit from the UNE(s).	
11-1 1.5, 1.8.1, (A) Should BellSouth be 1.9, permitted to charge CLEC the full development costs associated with a BFR?  (B) If so, how should these (B) To the extent BellSouth can charge	11-1 1.5, 1.8.1, (A) Should BellSouth be 1.9, permitted to charge CLEC 1.10 the full development costs associated with a BFR? development of a BFR should be apportioned among CLECs who may benefit from the UNE(s).  (B) If so, how should these (B) To the extent BellSouth can charge	with a
11-1 1.5, 1.8.1, (A) Should BellSouth be 1.9, permitted to charge CLEC the full development costs associated with a BFR?  (B) If so, how should these costs be recovered?  (B) If so, how should these costs be recovered?	11-1 1.5, 1.8.1, (A) Should BellSouth be 1.9, permitted to charge CLEC 1.10 the full development costs associated with a BFR?  (B) If so, how should these costs be recovered?  (A) NO, charges associated with the development of a BFR should be apportioned among CLECs who may benefit from the UNE(s).	
11-1 1.5, 1.8.1, (A) Should BellSouth be 1.9, the full development costs associated with a BFR?  (B) If so, how should these costs be recovered?  (B) If so, how should these costs be recovered?  (B) If so, how should these costs be recovered?  (CLEC for the development costs should be assessed with a BFR, such costs should be assessed	11-1 1.5, 1.8.1, (A) Should BellSouth be 1.9, permitted to charge CLEC 1.10 the full development costs associated with a BFR?  (B) If so, how should these costs be recovered?  (B) To the extent BellSouth can charge CLEC for the development costs associated with a BFR, such costs should be assessed	through non-recurring and recurring rates

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on May 19, 2004, a copy of the foregoing document was served on the following, via the method indicated:

[] [] []	
[ ] [ ]	Facsimile
[] ~~[]	Overnight Electronic

H. LaDon Baltimore, Esquire Farrar & Bates 211 Seventh Ave. N, # 320 Nashville, TN 37219-1823 don.baltimore@farrar-bates.com

John J. Heitmann Kelley Drye & Warren 1900 19<sup>th</sup> St., NW, #500 Washington, DC 20036 jheitmann@kelleydrye.com

